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The BodePlex 3 multiplex is a sensitive mini-STR typing system for analyzing highly degraded and low copy number (LCN) DNA. It allows for the typing of smaller amplicons and decreased quantities of DNA template, thus enhancing the sensitivity of STR analysis of compromised samples. The present study validated BodePlex 3 multiplex system on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer to be used at The Bode Technology Group for forensic DNA analysis of small amplicons and LCN DNA. Validation experiments were performed according to the DNA Advisory Board (DAB) guidelines. Performance of the multiplex was accurate, reliable and reproducible. The results indicated that the typing system is highly specific for human DNA, sensitive for detecting profiles of LCN DNA, and is capable of resolving mixtures to a certain extent. In addition, this project outlined possible limitations that must be considered for successful use and interpretation of BodePlex 3 DNA profiling results.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) is the core element in the making of beings. In its structure lies the genetic code needed for directing the machinery of life. The human genome is composed of coding regions, also known as genes, and non-coding regions. DNA in coding regions contains the information required for the synthesis of proteins; it is transcribed and translated into cellular products essential for the functioning of cells. Only approximately $5 \%$ of the human genome is made of genes (1); the remaining part is non-coding DNA i.e. it does not code for any products. The function of these areas is still being delineated. However, in forensics, they are assuming an increasingly important role. The markers that distinguish individuals and are used in human identity testing lie in these non-coding regions. Any two individuals share $99.9 \%$ of their genome sequences (2). Variations in DNA sequences between individuals are termed polymorphisms. These variations lie in the remaining $0.1 \%$ of the genome sequence, in tandemly repeated DNA sequences that are dispersed throughout the human genome. A tandem repeat in DNA is two or more contiguous copies of a pattern of nucleotides. Tandem repeats were discovered in 1985 by Dr. Alec Jeffreys, who found that there are certain regions in the DNA sequence that are repeated over and over again next to each other, and that these
repeats differed in their number among individuals (1). They are typically classified into groups depending on the size of the repeat sequence. Minisatellites (variable number of tandem repeats, VNTRs) have repeats with 9-80 base pair (bp) units, while microsatellites (short tandem repeats, STRs) contain 2-7 bp repeats (3). Dr. Jeffreys developed the earliest technique used in human identity testing, one that examined the length variation of VNTRs. It involved the use of a restriction enzyme that cuts the flanking regions surrounding the repeat sequence and was called restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (1). After this breakthrough, DNA typing for human identity testing started its rise. Currently, the polymorphisms most widely used in forensic human identity testing are short tandem repeats (STRs). STRs have a significantly shorter length than VNTRs, usually between 100 and 450 bp , and their analysis requires a smaller amount of DNA template, which permits the examination of partially degraded DNA (4). These areas have shown such an extremely high degree of polymorphism among individuals in a population that they have become the genetic markers of choice in human identity testing.

Forensic DNA analysis relies on differences between individuals at unique genetic loci to determine whether two or more biological samples could have originated from the same source. These loci are examined by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a process that allows the replication of a specific DNA region repeatedly to yield millions of copies of the target sequence (5). PCR allows the concurrent amplification of multiple loci at a time, increasing the discrimination power of S TR a nalysis. This technique is termed "multiplexing". Forensic applications of DNA testing include: crime scene
evidence, sexual assault evidence, paternity testing, missing persons investigations, identification of unknown soldiers, investigation of mass disasters, and to acquit wrongly accused people (4). The most common sources of DNA include: blood, semen, saliva, hair and bone. The condition of biological samples processed for forensic DNA testing is an important factor in the determination of the success of the analysis. DNA obtained from compromised samples is often excessively degraded or found in trace amounts not suitable for successful STR typing. Biological samples may be compromised by exposure to excessive heat, humidity, and environmental or chemical insults, all of which may lead to DNA degradation. In addition, some evidentiary samples, such as fingerprints and swabs of personal items, may have very low quantities of DNA. Examples of compromised biological samples include those obtained from a mass disaster investigation scene, such as those encountered during the analysis of samples recovered from the World Trade Centers. It has been previously reported that as the extent of genomic DNA degradation increases, loci fail to amplify in order of decreasing size, with the first loci to show reduced yield being the longest (6). Thus STR typing of these samples often results only in partial profiles and some fail to yield a profile at all. As the amount of starting DNA template decreases, generated profiles are distorted due to the effect of stochastic variations, causing allelic drop out, which leads to incorrect genotyping of a homozygous locus, and heterozygous peak imbalance. Allele drop in, or detection of "false" alleles, due to sporadic contamination has also been reported with analysis of trace DNA quantities (7). The typical amount of DNA template required for
successful STR typing is between 0.5 to 2 ng , depending on the specifications of commercially available STR kits.

The forensic community has been working towards the development of more sensitive techniques to deal with trace amounts of total genomic DNA, or amounts less than a 100 pg ; termed low copy number (LCN) DNA (8). Advances in this area of research will allow for the examination of a wider range of evidentiary samples that cannot otherwise be analyzed with conventional STR typing, including compromised samples with degraded and trace amounts of DNA (ex: fingerprints, charred human remains, and minute amounts of evidentiary material). One method to enhance the generation of profiles from LCN templates is to increase the number of amplification cycles utilized. The conventional range of PCR cycle numbers used in STR analysis is $28-30$ cycles (9). By increasing cycle number (for example up to 34 cycles), more amplification products (amplicons) are produced, and the amount of DNA template is increased. This approach is sensitive enough to detect a single molecule of DNA (10). However, this method has disadvantages including increases in the potential for allelic drop out due to stochastic variation which will preferentially a mplify different a lleles, increases in the degree of peak area asymmetry observed at heterozygous loci, and increases in the size range of stutter across loci due to sampling small DNA templates (8). In addition, as the number of PCR cycles is increased, there will be a higher incidence of laboratory-based contamination, even under stringent sterile conditions (10). Another approach to enhance sensitivity of STR analysis is nested PCR (11). For this technique, two sets of primers are used in two separate PCR reactions. The first reaction serves to
amplify the STR and adjacent regions. In the second round of PCR, an aliquot of the PCR product from the first reaction is used as a template, and is amplified with primers that are designed to target smaller amplicons. This technique reduces the amount of nonspecific products and can analyze the DNA content of a single cell. One of the disadvantages of this method is the need to transfer the amplicons into a separate tube, thus increasing the incidence of contamination (10).

Following the challenges faced in analyzing thousands of samples from the World Trade Centers, The Bode Technology Group developed a robust and sensitive STR multiplex system, BodePlex 3, for analyzing highly degraded and LCN DNA. The multiplex examined the following STR loci: D3S1358, TH01, D5S818, D13S317, vWA, and D8S1179. Primers for this multiplex were chosen based on current data for the human genome at each STR locus, and were designed to target smaller DNA fragments, by bringing the complementary primer strands closer to the targeted repeat sequence. This approach allows for the typing of smaller amplicons and decreased quantities of DNA template, thus enhancing the sensitivity of STR analysis of compromised samples. The process of development of the system started with the evaluation of each potential primer sequence for formation of dimers, stability, hairpin formation and melting temperatures. Synthesized primers were then ordered from various vendors, with the forward primers being labeled with a fluorescent tag at the 5 ' end. The primers were tested in monoplexes at varying concentrations to determine the optimum primer concentration. Results were analyzed and the primer pairs were evaluated. Multiplexes of
the primer p airs w ere then tested with varying p rimer concentrations for all multiplex combinations to obtain the most balanced multiplex.

With the advent of forensic DNA typing, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) laboratory, together with several other forensic science laboratories, recognized the need for establishing guidelines to be followed by DNA testing laboratories. This would achieve standardization among the laboratories to assure the legal system and the public that DNA typing results conducted by a forensic laboratory are reliable, accurate and reproducible. In addition, these guidelines aimed to establish communication and concordance between DNA testing laboratories, with the ultimate goal being the development of a national DNA data bank, CODIS (COmbined DNA Index System). To meet this end, the Technical Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods (TWGDAM) was created in 1988 (12). Prior to implementation of an STR profiling system in a forensic laboratory, TWGDAM and the DNA Advisory Board (DAB) require that the system be validated according to their recommendations and quality assurance standards for forensic DNA testing laboratories. These standards place specific requirements on a DNA testing laboratory, with validation studies being one of these necessities. DAB quality assurance standards define validation as a process by which a procedure is evaluated to determine its efficacy and reliability for forensic casework analysis. It consists of: (a) Developmental validation, which is the acquisition of test data and determination of conditions and limitations of a new or novel DNA methodology for use on forensic samples, (b) Internal validation, or the accumulation of test data within the laboratory to demonstrate that established methods and procedures perform as expected
in the laboratory (14). TWGDAM guidelines require that validation studies be conducted by the DNA laboratory prior to the a doption of a new procedure for forensic a nalysis (13). D AB standards necessitate that a forensic laboratory $p$ erform developmental and internal validations for methods and procedures used in forensic analysis (14).

The aim of this study is to validate the BodePlex 3 STR multiplex system on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) to be used at The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA) for forensic DNA analysis of small amplicons and LCN DNA. This project was designed to assess the reliability of the typing system and identify potential limitations in the analysis of smaller quantities of DNA. Additionally, it is especially valuable to familiarize users with particular limitations of a new typing system prior to taking on casework. Validation studies help a laboratory in establishing interpretation criteria for a particular typing sy stem, such as minimum and maximum peak value thresholds, heterozygote balance ratio, allele drop out frequency and stutter peak expectations. Prior to starting the validation studies on BodePlex 3, the final stages of development were completed. After the chosen primers were tested in monoplexes, optimum primer concentrations were chosen based on the results. Primer concentrations were tested and verified in different multiplexes, and an allelic ladder was developed to size the different alleles of the STR loci. Validation experiments were performed according to the standards set forth by the DNA Advisory Board (DAB). The accuracy, precision and reproducibility of the system in typing LCN DNA were tested. DNA from different species was typed to examine the specificity of the multiplex for typing human DNA. Moreover, sensitivity experiments were conducted
in order to determine the minimum quantity of DNA that produced accurate genotyping results or interpretable partial profiles with BodePlex 3 multiplex. In addition, mixture studies were used to evaluate the reliability of the typing system in the presence of mixed DNA specimens. This project served to complete the final steps in the development of BodePlex 3 STR multiplex, and provided the necessary validation data required by DAB for forensic DNA testing laboratories.

## CHAPTER II

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## DNA Samples

Samples used in all the experiments include male and female DNA standards 9948 and 9947A, respectively (Coriell Cell Repositories, Camden, NJ), 39 DNA samples extracted from liquid blood, and 16 purified punches of blood samples on FTA® paper. All DNA samples used in this validation study had previously been genotyped. For the species specificity experiment, DNA samples from the following 15 different species were used: beaver, bovine, C. albicans, chicken, cottontail rabbit, coyote, dog, E. coli, mink, monkey, mouse, pig, rabbit, rat, and salmon. All the samples were provided by The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA).

## DNA Extraction

The 16 blood samples on FTA® paper were the only sample type that needed DNA extraction. A 1.2 mm diameter portion of the bloodstain from each FTA® paper (Whatman, Clifton, NJ) was punched out into each well of a tray, and the punches were subjected to a proprietary washing process that included washes with FTA® Purification Reagent (Whatman, Clifton, NJ) and a wash buffer. The tray was then placed in an
incubator at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour to dry the punches. A reagent blank control accompanied the entire extraction procedure.

## Dilutions

All the samples used had been previously quantitated at The Bode Technology Group, using PicoGreen (8) double-stranded DNA quantitation reagent (Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR) (15) and CytoFluor® microplate reader (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA ). DNA samples used in all the experiments were diluted in $\mathrm{TE}^{-4}$ buffer ( 10 mM Tris$\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 ) to a $0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ final concentration, except in the sensitivity and $s$ pecies $s$ pecificity experiments. F or the $s$ ensitivity experiment, $s$ amples were diluted in $\mathrm{TE}^{-4}$ buffer into decreasing concentrations, starting at $2 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and down to $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.05 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.025 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.01 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, and 0.005 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. The different species samples were tested at $0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA concentrations.

## Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

For the primer evaluation and ladder development experiments, single loci were amplified in PCR monoplexes. In the multiplex testing experiments and the validation studies, all six microsatellites of BodePlex 3 system were amplified in one PCR multiplex reaction. The loci were: D3S1358, TH01, D5S818, D13S317, vWA and D8S1179 (Table 1). The DNA samples were amplified on a Perkin Elmer GeneAmp® PCR system 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The total reaction volume was $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in all
experiments. Each reaction mix contained sterilized $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, amplification buffer ( 10 ml stock buffer containing: 7.3 ml sterilized $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.5 \mathrm{ml}$ 10X PCR buffer [Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA] containing $15 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{l} 100 \mathrm{mM}$ of each dNTP ), 5 units A mpliTaq Gold® DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA ) and the s pecific p rimers for each locus. Primer sequences and concentrations are proprietary of The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA). All forward primers were labeled at the 5 ' end with one of three fluorescent tags: Fluorescein (blue fluorescence), HEX ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (green fluorescence), and NED ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (yellow fluorescence). The amount of DNA in each reaction was 0.25 ng unless otherwise stated. Positive (9948 and 9947A control DNA) and negative controls (sterilized $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) accompanied each PCR amplification procedure. In addition, a reagent blank control for the $\mathrm{FTA}{ }^{8}$ extraction was also amplified with the FTA® samples. Thirty cycles of amplification were used in all PCR experiments, except when amplifying the FTA® samples; in this case only 23 cycles were used. The cycling parameters are proprietary of The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA).

## Capillary Electrophoresis (CE)

PCR amplification products were subjected to capillary electrophoresis on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (ABI 3100) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). A mixture of $10 \mu \mathrm{Hi}-\mathrm{Di}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ formamide (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ GeneScan ${ }^{\text {TM }}-500$ ROX $^{\text {TM }}$ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) was prepared for each reaction. Seven-tenths of $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the PCR amplification product was
added to $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the formamide mixture in a MicroAmp® Optical 96-well reaction plate (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) followed by brief centrifugation. The samples were then denatured for 5 minutes at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequently snap-cooled for 2 minutes on ice. An allelic ladder was developed to size the different alleles of the STR loci, and 1 $\mu l$ of the ladder was added at least once to each tray. The sample tray was loaded and the ABI 3100 was set to run. The run was conducted using dye set F , the run module "GeneScan36_POP4 Default Module", and the analysis module "GS500 Analysis".

## Analysis

The data collected from the CE instrument was analyzed with GeneScan® Analysis software (16) and Genotyper® Software v 3.7 (17) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The Genotyper® Macro used in the analysis is specific to the use of BodePlex 3, was d eveloped by The Bode T echnology Group (Springfield, VA), a nd is p roprietary. GeneScan ${ }^{\text {TM }}-500$ ROX $^{\text {TM }}$ Size Standard served as the internal lane standard for sizing the different DNA fragments. The relative fluorescence unit (RFU) threshold was set at 75 during this project. Genotypes, allele base pair sizes, and peak heights (measured in RFU values) were collected and reported for all samples.

## Statistical Analysis

For the results of the accuracy experiments, averages of the total alleles called by BodePlex 3 and the Profiler Plus®/COfiler® sy stems were calculated. Mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, and the range for base pair sizes of all alleles were
calculated for the results of the precision experiments. After analyzing the sensitivity experiment results, average values for peak heights (in RFU values) were calculated for all the alleles detected. Percent of heterozygote peak balance was calculated for all heterozygous loci, as: $\%$ Balance $=\left[(\text { peak height })_{\min } /(\text { peak height })_{\max }\right] \times 100$, where $($ peak height $)_{\min }$ and (peak height) $\max _{\max }$ are the peak height RFU values of the smaller and larger peaks, respectively. A value of 0 indicates allelic drop out and a value of 100 indicates perfectly balanced peaks at the heterozygote locus. In addition, percent of dye pull-up was calculated in all cases were pull-up occurred from one color to another, and the total average percent was reported for each dye color.

## CHAPTER III

## INTERNSHIP PRACTICUM JOURNAL

## May 1, 2003

- Meeting with Dr. James Schumm and Robyn Wingrove at The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA). Discussed the internship project and decided on the validation of BodePlex 3 STR multiplex system.
- The validation study will follow the guidelines set by the DNA Advisory Board (DAB).
- Steps involved in the validation:

1. Developmental validation:

- Accuracy, precision and reproducibility of the system.
- Species specificity.
- Mixture studies.
- Sensitivity.
- Stability.

2. Internal validation.

## May 2, 2003

- Started training under the supervision of Robyn Wingrove.
- Learned the protocols followed by the laboratory:

1. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) setup.
2. Sample preparation for separation and detection on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (ABI 3100) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).
3. Operating the ABI 3100.
4. Analysis with GeneScan® Analysis and Genotyper® v 3.7 softwares (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

## May 5,2003

- Performed DNA typing with BodePlex 1 and 2 PCR amplification systems, for samples extracted from fingerprints.


## May 6,2003

- Learned DNA extraction using DNA IQ ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ System (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) on the Biomek ${ }^{\circledR} 2000$ Laboratory Automation Workstation (Beckman Coulter, Inc.).
- Learned DNA extraction from liquid blood using QIAamp DNA Blood Midi Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA).


## May 7, 2003

- Extracted DNA from separated epithelial and sperm cell fractions using DNA IQ ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ System on the Biomek® 2000 Laboratory Automation Workstation.
- Quantitation of the extracted DNA using PicoGreen® double-stranded DNA quantitation reagent (Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR) and CytoFluor® microplate reader (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).


## May 8, 2003

- Amplification of the DNA extracts (from May 7, 2003) using COfiler® PCR amplification kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).
- The fragments of the amplification products were separated and detected on the ABI 3100.


## May 14, 2003

- Repeated the quantitation of extracted DNA using PicoGreen® quantitation reagent and CytoFluor® microplate reader.

May 15, 2003

- Analysis with GeneScan® Analysis and Genotyper® v 3.7 softwares.

May 16,2003

- Performed DNA typing with BodePlex 1 and 2 PCR amplification systems, for another set of samples extracted from fingerprints.
- The size fragments of the DNA samples were separated and detected on the ABI 3100.


## May 19, 2003

- Analysis of the fingerprint experiment results from May 16, 2003.
- End of training period.


## May 23, 2003

- Tested a series of $\mathrm{vWA}-\mathrm{OH}$ primers with the fluorescent primer for the BodePlex 3 multiplex system.
- Amplified 0.25 ng 9947 A and 9948 DNA templates separately with each vWA primer pair in duplicate.

May 28, 2003

- The size fragments of the a mplification p roducts from the vWA p rimer testing experiment were separated and detected on ABI 3100 .


## May 29, 2003

- Analysis of the results of vWA primer testing experiment.
- Decided on the best vWA primer pair to be included in BodePlex 3 multiplex amplification system.
- The primer pair that was decided upon yielded the highest peak heights, with the best peak morphology and the least amount of artifacts. The other primer pairs resulted in split peaks, shoulder peaks, dye pull-up, and $\mathrm{n}-1$ artifacts.


## May 30, 2003

- Tested varying concentrations of the selected vWA primer pair in multiplex with the other primer pairs for the remaining five loci of the BodePlex 3 system, to determine the optimum concentration.
- Prepared DNA dilutions of the 39 samples extracted from liquid blood, for use in the accuracy experiment of the validation study.
- DNA was diluted in $\mathrm{TE}^{-4}$ buffer to a $0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ final concentration.


## June 2, 2003

- The size fragments of the DNA samples from the multiplex experiment were separated and detected on ABI 3100.
- Prepared allelic ladder for use with the BodePlex 3 multiplex.
- The ladder development procedure is proprietary of The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA).

June 3, 2003

- Analysis of the results of the multiplex experiment.
- Decided upon the optimum concentration of $v$ WA primer pair to be used with BodePlex 3 multiplex.

June 4, 2003

- The size fragments of the ladder were separated and detected on ABI 3100.


## June 5,2003

- Analysis of the results of the ladder experiment.


## June 18, 2003

- The results were successful, and the alleles for all six loci of the BodePlex 3 multiplex were well separated.
- The BodePlex 3 multiplex was ready for validation.

June 19, 2003

- Extraction of the 16 FTA® punches for use in the accuracy experiment of validation.
- Prepared new dilutions of the 39 DNA samples extracted from liquid blood (samples were diluted in $\mathrm{TE}^{-4}$ buffer to a final concentration of $0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- Mixture samples were prepared in the following 9947A to 9948 template DNA ratios: 100:0, 95:5, $90: 10,80: 20,50: 50,20: 80,10: 90,5: 95$, and 0:100.


## June 20, 2003

- All validation study samples were amplified with BodePlex 3 multiplex.
- A DNA template of $0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ was used in all amplifications; except in the sensitivity and species specificity experiments.
- For the sensitivity study, the following template amounts were amplified with the multiplex: $2 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.05 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.025$ $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.01 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, and $0.005 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$.
- The different species samples were tested at $0.25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu 1$ and $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA concentrations.
- Negative and positive controls accompanied all amplifications.


## June 23, 2003

- DNA fragments of amplification products from the following experiments were separated and detected on the ABI 3100: accuracy, sensitivity, and mixture studies.

June 24, 2003

- DNA fragments of amplification products from the following experiments were separated and detected on the ABI 3100: reproducibility and species specificity studies.


## June 25, 2003

- Analysis of results from all validation experiments.
- Re-analysis of some samples on the ABI 3100.


## June 26, 2003

- Analysis of the results from repeated experiments.
- Validation study completed.


## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS

## Final stages of BodePlex 3 multiplex development

Prior to starting the validation studies on BodePlex 3 system, the final stages of multiplex development were carried out. All primer sequences and concentrations used in these experiments, as well as the development of the allelic ladder, are proprietary of The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA). A series of different vWA -OH primers was tested in monoplex with the chosen fluorescent primer (18). The testing was carried out by amplifying 0.25 ng of 9947A and 9948 DNA templates with each vWA primer pair. All amplifications were carried out in duplicate, and negative controls accompanied all the procedures. The amplification products were analyzed on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (ABI 3 100) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The primer pair that was decided upon yielded the highest peak heights, with the best peak morphology and the least amount of artifacts. The other primer pairs resulted in split peaks, shoulder peaks, dye pull-up, and non-template nucleotide addition. The primer pair was then tested in multiplex with the other primers for the remaining five loci of the BodePlex 3 system. The next step was to develop an allelic ladder to size the different alleles generated by the multiplex; this procedure is also proprietary of The Bode Technology Group. Ladder development experiments were carried out and an allelic ladder was successfully
developed (Figure 1), as well as a Genotyper® Macro to analyze the data. Following multiplex optimization experiments, the BodePlex 3 system was ready for validation (Figure 2).

## Accuracy

The 16 purified $\mathrm{FTA®}$ punches and the 39 DNA samples, extracted from liquid blood, were amplified with BodePlex 3 multiplex. Allele calls were determined for all the samples, and compared to previously determined genotypes by AmpFISTR® Profiler Plus ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and COfiler ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) PCR amplification kits. For all 16 FTA samples all the allele calls were identical between the systems. In addition, with BodePlex 3 two additional alleles were called for one of the samples (sample 6571) at the TH01 locus, which previously did not give a genotype with the COfiler® system (Table 2). In the case of the 39 liquid blood samples, three samples (7290, 7303, and 7317) gave genotypes at the TH01 locus that could not be previously detected with the COfiler® system (Table 3). Allelic drop out occurred at the TH01 locus in sample 7304 with the BodePlex 3 system, and in sample 7311 with the COfiler® system. Moreover, samples 7310 and 7322 gave discordant genotypes between BodePlex 3 and COfiler® systems, both at the TH01 locus. Sample 7310 gave a $(9.3,9.3)$ genotype with BodePlex 3 and a $(6,9)$ with the COfiler® system, and sample 7322 gave a $(7,9.3)$ genotype with BodePlex 3 and a $(6,9.3)$ with the COfiler $®$ system. However, when typed with the PowerPlex 16 system (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI), the genotypes were identical between BodePlex 3 and PowerPlex 16 systems. Overall more alleles were
called with the BodePlex 3 multiplex despite the use of 0.25 ng template DNA versus 1 ng template for the Profiler Plus®/COfiler® systems.

## Precision

To evaluate the precision of BodePlex 3 typing system, sixteen samples of 0.25 ng human control DNA 9947A were amplified separately with the multiplex. The amplified fragments of each sample were injected twice each and separated on the ABI 3100. In addition, sixteen allelic ladders were injected twice each and separated on the ABI 3100. The base pair size for each allele was determined for all the 9947A samples and all the ladders using Genotyper $\circledR^{8}$ Software v 3.7. The standard deviation of base pair sizes for all the loci was between 0.03 and 0.06 bp for the sixteen 9947A samples (Table 4), and between 0.03 and 0.17 bp for the sixteen ladders (Table 5). These values represent both injections performed.

## Reproducibility

Sixteen samples of 0.25 ng human control DNA 9947A were amplified separately with BodePlex 3 multiplex. The amplified fragments of each 9947A sample were then injected twice each and separated on the ABI 3100. Allele calls for all the amplified and detected 9947A samples were identical regardless of the ABI 3100 capillary position or injection (Table 6).

## Species specificity

Samples from 15 different species were amplified with BodePlex 3 multiplex using DNA templates at two different concentrations, 0.25 ng and 5 ng (Table 7). The amplification products were then analyzed on the ABI 3100 to determine if any of the different species DNA yielded allele peaks when amplified with BodePlex 3 primer system. The chicken ( 0.25 ng ), E. coli ( 5 ng ), monkey ( 5 ng ), mouse ( 5 ng ), and rabbit ( 5 ng ) DNA generated peaks in regions of human alleles, with peak heights of $56,59,181,104$, and 217 relative fluorescence units (RFU), respectively. All the other species tested did not produce peaks in these regions (Table 8). Moreover, it cannot be excluded that other untested species DNA may generate different peaks with the use of the BodePlex 3 primers.

## Sensitivity

The following nine different concentrations of 9947A and 9948 DNA templates were amplified with the BodePlex 3 multiplex: $2 \mathrm{ng}, 1 \mathrm{ng}, 0.5 \mathrm{ng}, 0.25 \mathrm{ng}, 0.1 \mathrm{ng}, 0.05 \mathrm{ng}$, $0.025 \mathrm{ng}, 0.01 \mathrm{ng}$, and 0.005 ng . All amplifications were carried out in triplicate. Three samples with 0.005 ng and two samples with 0.01 ng template amounts generated peaks with average heights above 100 RFU at at least one locus (Table 9). Complete profiles were observed for two 9948 samples with as little as 0.025 ng DNA template, and for one 9948 sample with 0.05 ng template. However it is not recommended to use this amount when full balanced profiles are required. At 0.1 ng of DNA template allelic drop out at the TH01 locus occurred in three samples (Table 10). DNA amounts of 0.25 ng and 0.5 ng produced balanced profiles for all the samples analyzed; the total average peak heights
for all the loci ranged from 1142 to 4128 RFU, and no measurable dye pull-up (exceeding 50 RFU ) was observed (Figure 3). With 1 ng and 2 ng DNA templates signal saturation occurred, with substantial dye pull-up (Table 11), and high levels of stutter. Correct allele calls were made for all 9947A samples over the range of 0.25 ng to 2 ng , and over the range of 0.1 ng to 1 ng for all 9948 samples. As expected, average peak height values increased with increasing DNA template amounts (Figure 4). Moreover, for all samples analyzed, allelic drop out began to be observed at 0.1 ng template amounts and continued down to 0.005 ng (primarily at the TH01 locus). In addition, average peak height balance at heterozygote loci decreased with lower template amounts (Figure 5 and Table 12).

## Mixtures

Nine DNA mixtures of 0.25 ng total template amount were prepared from 9947A and 9948 human control DNA. The two different DNA samples share alleles at all six STR loci of BodePlex 3 multiplex, and have the same genotype at one locus (Table 13). The mixtures were prepared in the following 9947A to 9948 ratios: 100:0, $95: 5,90: 10,80: 20$, $50: 50,20: 80,10: 90,5: 95$, and $0: 100$. The mixture samples were then amplified with BodePlex 3 multiplex and analyzed on the ABI 3100. Peak heights of the different alleles observed in each mixture sample were determined in RFU values (Table 14). The resulting genotypes were evaluated for the presence of the following mixture indicators: more than two peaks at a locus, peaks at stutter positions (4 base pairs below the main peak) with higher RFU values than typical stutter peaks ( $\leq 17.5 \%$ of the larger peak), and heterozygote peak imbalance. All mixture samples (except the 100:0 and the 0:100
samples) displayed three peaks at the D3S1358 locus (both samples have a heterozygote profile at this locus) with RFU values above 100 RFU, except for a 62 RFU peak for the minor component in the $95: 5$ mixture sample. Only the $90: 10$ and the $50: 50$ samples displayed three peaks at the TH01 locus, although it was expected to obtain three peaks in other mixture samples as well, but this was not the case due to the high level of allelic drop out at this locus. The $(12,13)$ genotype at the D8S1179 locus of the minor component profile in the $95: 5$ mixture could not be called because the 12 allele was at a stutter position with a peak height value characteristic of a stutter peak. Peak height proportions were all indicative of the presence of a mixture at all the loci (except TH01) in the following mixture samples: $80: 20,50: 50$, and $20: 80$. The other samples also displayed significant peak height imbalance (indicative of the presence of a mixed sample), but not at all the loci. It was possible to predict the minor component's partial profile in the $95: 5,5: 95,10: 90,80: 20$ and 20:80 mixtures, and full profiles of both components in the 90:10 and 50:50 mixture samples (Figure 6).

Throughout all the development experiments and validation studies, all the negative and positive controls produced the expected results, and no sporadic allele drop in events were observed in any of the experiments.

## CHAPTER V

## DISCUSSION

With the advancement of forensic DNA typing over the years, research in the area of human DNA identification continues to progress toward the development of more robust, efficient and sensitive techniques. Forensic DNA profiling is now largely established in courts as important evidence, and databases of DNA profiles are being implemented in many countries (4). The challenges faced with the quality of biological samples being analyzed necessitate the improvement of current methods and protocols. The most common of these challenges is the analysis of compromised biological samples. When the DNA in a specimen is extremely degraded or present in low quantities, the sensitivity of a typing system becomes a critical issue.

The BodePlex 3 mini-STR typing system was developed for use with compromised samples of d egraded and low copy number (LCN) DNA. The multiplex primers were specifically designed to target smaller fragments of template DNA by bringing the complementary primer strands closer to the target region and hence decreasing the amplicon size. This approach increases the chances of detecting genetic profiles when the DNA template is degraded or present in small quantities.

The standards set forth by the DNA Advisory Board (DAB) require that a forensic DNA testing laboratory perform validation studies on novel techniques or procedures prior to implementation for casework analysis. Validation studies help familiarize users with the various potentials and limitations of a forensic DNA typing system. These studies also help to set the grounds for establishing interpretation criteria such as minimum and maximum peak value thresholds, heterozygote balance ratio, a nd allelic drop out frequency. The purpose of this study was to validate the BodePlex 3 mini-STR multiplex system on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) to be used at The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA) for forensic DNA analysis of small amplicons and LCN DNA. The project and experiments performed were designed according to DAB recommendations and standards.

Preceding the validation studies, the final stages of BodePlex 3 multiplex development were completed. The validation studies were conducted and focused on evaluating the accuracy, precision, reproducibility, species specificity, sensitivity and mixture $r$ esolving $c$ apacity of the typing system. The accuracy experiments $p$ erformed demonstrate the system's ability at accurately detecting all the alleles of the tested samples. Except for one allelic drop out event at the TH01 locus (Table 3), all alleles were typed correctly. Moreover, discrepancies for two samples were observed between the BodePlex 3 system and the Profiler Plus $® /$ COfiler® systems, also at the TH01 locus (Table 3). However, when typed with the PowerPlex 16 system, both samples gave identical allele calls between BodePlex 3 and PowerPlex 16 systems. These discrepancies can not be explained at this point, and additional testing is currently
underway at The Bode Technology Group. In addition, more total alleles were called with the BodePlex 3 system versus the Profiler Plus $® / C O f i l e r ®$ systems, despite the use of 0.25 ng template DNA as opposed to 1 ng template for the Profiler Plus $® /$ COfiler ${ }^{(8}$ systems. This shows that the BodePlex 3 system is more accurate and sensitive than the Profiler Plus $® /$ COfiler $®$ systems, even at lower template amounts.

The precision and reproducibility of the typing system were tested and assessed. Standard deviation of base pair sizes determined for all the loci was between 0.03 and 0.06 bp for the sixteen 9947A samples tested, and between 0.03 and 0.17 bp for the sixteen allelic ladders; for the two separate injections performed (Tables 4 and 5). These values indicate the precision of the system in determining allelic base pair sizes. In addition, allele calls for 9947A DNA template were reproducible 32 separate times; 16 times in each injection performed (Table 6).

Decreasing amounts of 9947A and 9948 DNA templates were amplified with the multiplex to evaluate the sensitivity of BodePlex 3 . The 0.25 ng and 0.5 ng samples produced the most balanced profiles for both 9947A and 9948 DNA (Figure 3); the profiles were reproduced in three separate amplifications for each template amount. Although complete profiles were observed for two of the three replicates with as little as 0.025 ng DNA template, and for one sample with 0.05 ng template, these amounts are not recommended for use when full balanced profiles are required. Due to the high sensitivity of the system, templates of 1 ng and 2 ng DNA resulted in signal saturation and high incidences of dye pull-up (primarily from the yellow pulling up into the green color) (Table 11), and stutter. In general, stutter occurrences were minimal with BodePlex 3
system. In addition, for all samples analyzed, allelic drop out started at 0.1 ng template amounts and down to 0.005 ng (primarily at the TH01 locus), and as expected, average peak height balance at heterozygote loci decreased with lower template amounts (Figure 5 and Table 12). While the smaller allele at a heterozygote locus is expected to be at least $30 \%$ of the larger one at peak heights exceeding 600 RFU, even more pronounced heterozygote allele imbalance is not unexpected with peak heights of lower RFU values. This can be attributed to the fact that with low template amounts, one allele of a heterozygous locus can be preferentially amplified due to chance, and can result in a higher signal intensity or peak height. Moreover, average peak height values (in RFU) increased with increasing DNA template amounts (Figure 4). Based on these results, the following conclusions and recommendations can be made: homozygotes may be called if an individual peak at a locus exceeds 200 RFU ; allele drop out was not observed with homozygotes above 132 RFU. Heterozygote alleles may be called as long as they exceed 50 RFU . If a single allele peak height value falls below the homozygote cut off, it can be called as part of a partial profile. Although a full profile might not be generated, this will help when matching profiles.

Allele drop in events were not observed in any of the negative controls (sterilized $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ templates, under the same amplification conditions). However, since it is an unpredictable event, to avoid potential error from sporadic allele drop in events, the amplification may be performed in duplicate, since the chances of allele drop in events being precisely replicated in two separate amplifications are exceedingly small.

In the species specificity experiments, five different non-human DNA samples (chicken, E. coli, monkey, mouse, and rabbit) generated single peaks in regions of human alleles, with peak heights ranging from 56 to 217 relative fluorescence units (RFU) (Table 8). All the other species tested did not produce peaks in these regions. The allelic peak heights generated by amplification of 0.25 ng chicken DNA and 5 ng of each of E . coli, monkey, and mouse DNA, were below 200 RFU; hence, in casework scenario, these peaks will not be called. The allele peak generated in an area of human alleles by the 5 ng rabbit sample had a peak height value above 200 RFU. However, unless a biological specimen submitted for human identification is contaminated with 5 ng or more of monkey, mouse, or rabbit DNA, these peaks are not expected to be reproduced. Moreover, it cannot be excluded that other untested species DNA may generate different peaks with the use of the BodePlex 3 primers. Inspite of these results, the BodePlex 3 system remains highly specific for human DNA typing.

To determine the ability of the BodePlex 3 typing system at resolving mixed DNA profiles, different mixture samples of 9947A and 9948 DNA templates were prepared and amplified with the multiplex. It was possible to predict the minor component's partial profile in the $95: 5,5: 95,10: 90,80: 20$ and 20:80 mixtures, and full profiles of both components in the $90: 10$ and $50: 50$ mixture samples (Figure 6). Even at the low template amounts used in this experiment ( 0.25 ng DNA), the BodePlex 3 system was able to detect the presence of a mixed DNA sample. Moreover, it is not unusual for allelic drop out events to occur at these low levels of DNA template; for example, in the

95:5 mixture sample, the DNA amount of the minor component is $5 \%$ of 0.25 ng , or 0.0125 ng .

When interpreting DNA profiles generated by the BodePlex 3 mini-STR typing system, it is recommended to be conservative. Homozygote allele peaks can be called at or above 200 RFU, and heterozygotes at or above 50 RFU, with confidence. Allelic drop out is very frequent with degraded or LCN DNA templates, even for higher peak heights. Variations in the primer-binding sequence of a DNA template can result in failure of primer hybridization, amplification and subsequent detection of an existing allele (1). In addition, one allele of a heterozygote locus may be amplified by chance during the early rounds of PCR and is more likely to be preferentially amplified and detected, resulting in drop out of the other allele. Moreover, when dealing with compromised biological specimens, the total amount of intact DNA present must be taken into account. This factor can have stochastic effects, which can adversely affect the resulting DNA profile. In addition, laboratory-based contamination is not unexpected, due to the high sensitivity of the system in detecting low DNA levels. As with all typing systems, special care must be taken to avoid contamination. Other aspects to be considered are allele peak height balance at heterozygote loci, occurrence of dye blobs, and stutter incidences.

This study served to validate the use of BodePlex 3 mini-STR multiplex system on the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at The Bode Technology Group (Springfield, VA) in forensic DNA analysis of small amplicons and LCN DNA. It fulfilled the primary requirements $s$ et forth $b y$ the DNA Advisory Board (DAB) for forensic DNA testing laboratories and defined possible
limitations that must be considered for successful use and interpretation of DNA profiling results. Performance of the multiplex was accurate, reliable and reproducible. The results indicated that the BodePlex 3 system is highly specific for typing human DNA, sensitive for detecting DNA profiles of LCN DNA, and is capable of resolving mixtures to a certain extent. In conclusion, BodePlex 3 mini-STR typing system is robust for the analysis of LCN human DNA and is ready for use in forensic casework analysis.

## CHAPTER VI

## TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Summary of BodePlex 3 mini-STR multiplex loci. (a) Alleles and base pair sizes are those observed in the current study. Table (b) represents a comparison of allele base pair sizes between BodePlex 3, Profiler Plus ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, COfiler®, and PowerPlex® 16 systems.
a. BodePlex 3 mini-STR multiplex loci.

| Locus | Dye | Color | Alleles | Base pair <br> sizes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3S1358 | Fluorescein | Blue | $12-19$ | $112-141$ |
| TH01 | Fluorescein | Blue | $4-9,9.3,10,11,13.3$ | $155-194$ |
| D5S818 | HEX | TM | Green | $7-16$ |
| D13S317 | HEX $^{\text {TM }}$ | Green | $8-15$ | $114-152$ |
| vWA | NED $^{\text {TM }}$ | Yellow | $11-24$ | $137-191$ |
| D8S1179 | NED $^{\text {TM }}$ | Yellow | $7-18$ | $202-246$ |

b. Comparison of base pair sizes.

| System | BodePlex 3 | Profiler Plus ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ | COfiler ${ }^{\circledR}$ | PowerPlex® ${ }^{8}$ 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3S1358 | 112-141 | 114-142 | 114-142 | 115-147 |
| TH01 | 155-194 |  | 169-189 | 156-195 |
| D5S818 | 114-152 | 135-171 |  | 119-155 |
| D13S317 | 178-206 | 206-234 |  | 176-208 |
| vWA | 137-191 | 157-197 |  | 123-171 |
| D8S1179 | 202-246 | 128-168 |  | 203-247 |

Table 2. BodePlex 3 accuracy - FTA® samples. Genotype comparison of the 16 FTA® samples amplified with BodePlex 3 (BP3) and Profiler Plus®/COfiler® systems (Pro/CO). Highlighted cells denote allelic drop out.

|  | 은 응 틍 |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { 혼 }}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N }}$ | $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> 0 <br> 0 | $N$ $\infty$ $\infty$ 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r } \\ & \stackrel{N}{\tilde{N}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{o}} \end{aligned}$ | N N N - | $\frac{\Gamma}{k}$ | $\stackrel{N}{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP3 | 6535 | 15 | 16 | 7 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6535 | 15 | 16 | +27 | 9.3 | * 11 | - ${ }^{1} 12$ | 11 | 12 | - 817 | 8 | 13. |  |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6546 | 14 | 15 | 7 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6546 | 14 | 15 | 7 | 9.3 | 11 | 12. | 9 | 11 | 17 | 20 | 12 | 13 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6547 | 16 | 17 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6547 | 16 | 17 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 12 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6549 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6549 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 12 | -12 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 8 | 11 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6551 | 16 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6551 | 16 | 16. | 9 | 9 | 11. | 13 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 14 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6571 | 16 | 16 | 6 | 9.3 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 13 | 12 |  | 2 |  |
| Pro/CO | 6571 | 16 | 16. | 0 | 0 | $\therefore 13$ | 13 | 8 | $13^{\prime 2}$ | 18 | 19 | 12 |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6579 | 14 | 16 | 9.3 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 13 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6579 | 14 | 16 | 9.3 | 10 | - 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 17. | 17 | 12 | 13 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6580 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6580 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 8 | -11 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 10 |  |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6581 | 16 | 16 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6581 | 16 | 16 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 114 | 11 | - 11 | 13 | 16 | 17. | 11 | . 15 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6582 | 14 | 17 | 7 | 9.3 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6582 | 14 | 17 | 7 | 9.3 | 9 9 | 10 | 96 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | $15^{\circ}$ | *: | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6621 | 16 | 18 | 9 | 9.3 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 14 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6621 | 16 | 18 | 9 | 9.3 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 10. | 14 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6629 | 14 | 18 | 6 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6629 | 14 | 18 | 6 | 9.3 | 11. | 12 | 10 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 |  | 12. |  |  |
| BP3 | 6638 | 17 | 18 | 6 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6638 | 17 | 18 | 6 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 15 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6644 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 9.3 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6644 | 14. | 16 | 7. | 9.3 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 19 | -19 | 12 | 14 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6648 | 17 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 10 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6648 | 17 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 12 | , 13 | 12. | 12 | 14. | -16. | . 10 | . 12 |  | 12 |  |  |
| BP3 | 6667 | 16 | 17 | 9 | 9.3 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Pro/CO | 6667 | 16 | 17 | 9. | 9.3 | * 12 | 13 ? | 9. | 11 : | 16 | $18{ }^{\circ}$ | 14. | . 16 |  | 12. |  |  |
| Total alleles Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 | 190 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 11.88 | 0.13 | 0 |

Table 3. BodePlex 3 accuracy - DNA samples from liquid blood. Genotype comparison of the 39 DNA samples extracted from liquid blood, amplified with BodePlex 3 (BP3) and Profiler Plus®/COfiler® systems (Pro/CO). Highlighted cells denote allelic drop out.

Cells shaded in green indicate a discrepancy between the systems.


|  | $\text { pe\\|es seje\\|l } 0>\mu_{d}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | 12 |  | - |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 8, | 12 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12. |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12. |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| \%oricin | - 12. |  | ser |
| 12 |  | 2 |  |
| 2 | 10. |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| + ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | - 12 | - |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $4 x$ | 8.12 | Ant | - |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| - | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |

Table 3. Continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | 을 흥 E E | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { ㅇ } & \text { N } \\ 0 & 0 \\ & \% \\ & \% \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | 돈 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{T}} \end{aligned}$ |  | N ※ $\mathbf{0}$ 0 0 |  | N $\stackrel{N}{N}$ N N | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \frac{N}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | N <br> 8 <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP3 | 7299 | 1516 | 7 | 9.3 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 13 |
| Pro/CO | 7299 | $15 \quad 16$ | 7 |  | $10^{9}$ | 11 | 9 | 9 |  | 17 | 13 | 13 |
| BP3 | 7300 | $15 \quad 19$ | 7 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 13 |
| Pro/CO | 7300 | $15 \quad 19$ | 7 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 13 |
| BP3 | 7301 | 1616 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Pro/CO | 7301 | $16 \quad 16$ | 7 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| BP3 | 7302 | $17 \quad 17$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 14 |
| Pro/CO | 7302 | $17 \quad 17$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 17. | 12 | 4 |
| BP3 | 7303 | $15 \quad 17$ | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| Pro/CO | 7303 | $15 \quad 17$ | 0 | 0 | $104$ | 11. | 11 | $12$ |  | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| BP3 | 7304 | 1616 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 15 |
| Pro/CO | 7304 | 16. 16 |  | $9^{7}$ | 11 | - | 10 | 12 |  | 18 | 4 | 15 |
| BP3 | 7305 | $16 \quad 17$ | 6 | 6 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 14 |
| Pro/CO | 7305 | $16 \quad 17$ | - |  | $11$ | $12$ |  | 11 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 14 |
| BP3 | 7306 | $15 \quad 15$ | 9 | 9.3 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| Pro/CO | 7306 | -15*15 | 9 | 4 | 10\% | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 815 |
| BP3 | 7307 | $16 \quad 18$ | 6 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 14 |
| Pro/CO | 7307 | $16^{4 \quad 18}$ | , | 9 | 11 \% | 12 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 817 | 13 | -14 |
| BP3 | 7308 | $15 \quad 17$ | 9 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 13 |
| Pro/CO | 7308 | 15-17 | 9 | 9.3 | 118 | 12 | , | 13 | 15 | 16 | 13 |  |
| BP3 | 7309 | 1616 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 14 |
| Pro/CO | 7309 | -16:16 |  | . 3 | 11. | , | 1 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 12 | :14 |
| BP3 | 7310 | $15 \quad 17$ | 9.3 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 12 | 13 |
| Pro/CO | 7310 | $15 \times 87$ |  |  | $5115$ | $12$ | $11$ | $12$ | 16 | 17 | 12 | +13* |
| BP3 | 7311 | 1518 | 6 | 9.3 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 12 | 15 |
| Pro/CO | 7311 | $15 \times 18$ | 9.3 | 9.3 | $11 \mathrm{k}$ | $12$ | $9$ | $12$ | $15$ | $18$ | 12. | 15 |
| BP3 | 7312 | 1516 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 10 | 15 |
| Pro/CO | 7312 | 15 16 | 9.3 | 9.3 | $111$ |  | 1 | 11. | 17 | 17 |  | 15 |
| BP3 | 7313 | $15 \quad 15$ | 9.3 | 9.3 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 11 | 13 |
| Pro/CO | 7313 | $15^{2 \times 15}$ | - |  | $7$ | $12$ | $8$ | $112$ | 15 | $16$ | 11. | 13 |
| BP3 | 7314 | 1516 | 6 | 9.3 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 14 |
| Pro/CO | 7314 | 15*16 | $6$ | $9.3 \mathrm{E}$ | $11 \times$ | $14$ | $13$ | $14$ | $17$ | $19$ |  |  |
| BP3 | 7315 | 1618 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| Pro/CO | 7315 | 16:18 | \% 6 | 74 | 11. | $12 .$ | 11 | $11$ | $14$ | $18$ | 3. |  |
| BP3 | 7316 | $14 \quad 17$ | 6 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 10 |
| Pro/CO | 7316 | 14, 17 | $6$ | $7$ | $111$ | $11^{11}$ | $14$ | $11$ | $17$ | 18 | 10 | 10 |
| BP3 | 7317 | $17 \quad 17$ | 8 | 9.3 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 10 | 11 |
| Pro/CO | . 7317 | 17.17 | 0 | 0 | 33x | 13 运 | 8 83 | $11 \times$ | 15. | $18 \mathrm{~K}$ | . 10 | 11. |


|  | $\text { peneo seןə\\| } 0 \supset \mu_{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | \% 12 | * |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | $\because 12$ | 7 | " |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| \% 4 | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 景: | 12 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 428 | 12 | 5 | ** 8 |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| +8, | 12 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| - | -12.: | - | , |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 6- | $\therefore 12$ | \% | 8 |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| + +1 | 12 | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | \% |
| 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  | 2 |  |
| $2$ | 10 |  |  |

Table 3. Continued.


Table 4. BodePlex 3 precision-9947A samples. Allele base pair sizes for the 16 9947A samples amplified with BodePlex 3. Tables " $a$ " and " $b$ " represent the first and second injections, respectively.

## a. First injection.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \text { Non } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\overline{\text { 훅 }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { 욱 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{0} \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{k}{\xi}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & k \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \frac{N}{5} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{8} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947_a1 | 120.08 | 124.12 | 171.56 | 178.47 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.67 | 166.73 | 225.45 | 225.45 |
| 9947_b1 | 119.99 | 124.09 | 171.61 | 178.49 | 130.34 | 130.34 | 190.30 | 190.30 | 162.55 | 166.69 | 225.50 | 225.50 |
| 9947_c1 | 120.04 | 124.05 | 171.51 | 178.46 | 130.35 | 130.35 | 190.36 | 190.36 | 162.55 | 166.67 | 225.57 | 225.57 |
| 9947_d1 | 120.03 | 124.09 | 171.61 | 178.55 | 130.34 | 130.34 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.60 | 166.68 | 225.50 | 225.50 |
| 9947_e1 | 120.14 | 124.18 | 171.49 | 178.49 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.58 | 166.65 | 225.52 | 225.52 |
| 9947_f1 | 120.08 | 124.13 | 171.59 | 178.52 | 130.45 | 130.45 | 190.37 | 190.37 | 162.67 | 166.74 | 225.53 | 225.53 |
| 9947.g1 | 120.09 | 124.20 | 171.55 | 178.46 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.30 | 190.30 | 162.58 | 166.6 | 225.56 | 225.56 |
| 9947_h1 | 120.15 | 124.19 | 171.61 | 178.55 | 130.48 | 130.48 | 190.43 | 190.43 | 162.67 | 166.7 | 225.63 | 225.63 |
| 9947_11 | 120.04 | 124.15 | 171.52 | 178.49 | 130.43 | 130.43 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.58 | 166.63 | 225.60 | 225.60 |
| 9947 J1 | 120.03 | 124.15 | 171.55 | 178.47 | 130.46 | 130.46 | 190.38 | 190.38 | 162.58 | 166.64 | 225.60 | 225.60 |
| 9947_k1 | 120.08 | 124.13 | 171.47 | 178.38 | 130.37 | 130.37 | 190.30 | 190.30 | 162.58 | 166.64 | 225.50 | 225.50 |
| 9947_L1 | 120.05 | 124.11 | 171.55 | 178.42 | 130.36 | 130.36 | 190.33 | 190.33 | 162.60 | 166.69 | 225.53 | 225.53 |
| 9947_m1 | 120.08 | 124.21 | 171.61 | 178.47 | 130.45 | 130.45 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.60 | 166.75 | 225.56 | 225.56 |
| 9947_n1 | 120.12 | 124.18 | 171.61 | 178.55 | 130.43 | 130.43 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.60 | 166.75 | 225.58 | 225.58 |
| 9947_01 | 120.15 | 124.17 | 171.58 | 178.53 | 130.44 | 130.44 | 190.37 | 190.37 | 162.65 | 166.69 | 225.50 | 225.50 |
| 9947 p1 | 120.06 | 124.17 | 171.61 | 178.53 | 130.42 | 130.42 | 190.31 | 190.31 | 162.68 | 166.73 | 225.50 | 225.50 |
| Mean | 120.08 | 124.15 | 171.56 | 178.49 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.61 | 166.69 | 225.54 | 225.54 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Min. | 119.99 | 124.05 | 171.47 | 178.38 | 130.34 | 130.34 | 190.30 | 190.30 | 162.55 | 166.63 | 225.45 | 225.45 |
| Max. | 120.15 | 124.21 | 171.61 | 178.55 | 130.48 | 130.48 | 190.43 | 190.43 | 162.68 | 166.75 | 225.63 | 225.63 |
| Range | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.18 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.03$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.05$

Table 4. Continued.
b. Second injection.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \bar{N} \\ & \tilde{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { 훞 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 훞 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\infty} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overparen{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\Sigma}{\xi}$ | $\frac{N}{k}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\text { B }}{8} \\ & \hline \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ | $N$ <br> N <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947_a2 | 120.08 | 124.12 | 171.56 | 178.47 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.35 | 190.35 | 162.67 | 166.73 | 225.45 | 225.45 |
| 99 | 120.03 | 124.09 | 171.51 | 178.45 | 130.35 | 130.35 | 190.25 | 190.25 | 162.59 | 166.66 | 225.48 | 225.48 |
| 9947_c2 | 120.03 | 124.09 | 171.53 | 178.48 | 130.35 | 130.35 | 190.31 | 190.31 | 162.59 | 166.67 | 225.54 | 225.54 |
| 9947_d2 | 120.08 | 124.09 | 171.59 | 178.56 | 130.38 | 130.38 | 190.40 | 190.40 | 162.69 | 166.71 | 225.52 | 225.52 |
| 9947_e2 | 120.15 | 124.14 | 171.58 | 178.48 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.36 | 190.36 | 162.61 | 166.70 | 225.52 | 225.52 |
| 9947_f2 | 120.23 | 124.23 | 171.52 | 178.44 | 130.50 | 130.50 | 190.33 | 190.33 | 162.61 | 166.63 | 225.54 | 225.54 |
| 9947_g | 120.11 | 124.15 | 171.57 | 178.50 | 130.46 | 130.46 | 190.36 | 190.36 | 162.66 | 166.73 | 225.63 | 225.63 |
| 9947_h2 | 120.06 | 124.18 | 171.69 | 178.65 | 130.45 | 130.45 | 190.42 | 190.42 | 162.78 | 166.78 | 225.61 | 225.61 |
| 9947_12 | 120.06 | 124.10 | 171.57 | 178.52 | 130.38 | 130.38 | 190.36 | 190.36 | 162.58 | 166.68 | 225.55 | 225.55 |
| 9947 ${ }^{\text {2 } 2}$ | 120.09 | 124.14 | 171.47 | 178.43 | 130.35 | 130.35 | 190.31 | 190.31 | 162.57 | 166.59 | 225.54 | 225.54 |
| 9947_k2 | 120.00 | 124.13 | 171.52 | 178.45 | 130.42 | 130.42 | 190.28 | 190.28 | 162.65 | 166.65 | 225.51 | 225.51 |
| 9947_L2 | 120.06 | 124.15 | 171.52 | 178.47 | 130.37 | 130.37 | 190.33 | 190.33 | 162.55 | 166.76 | 225.52 | 225.52 |
| 9947_m2 | 120.04 | 124.11 | 171.54 | 178.46 | 130.39 | 130.39 | 190.27 | 190.27 | 162.62 | 166.65 | 225.45 | 225.45 |
| 9947_n2 | 120.09 | 124.17 | 171.43 | 178.39 | 130.38 | 130.38 | 190.31 | 190.31 | 162.54 | 166.59 | 225.59 | 225.59 |
| 9947_02 | 120.08 | 124.16 | 171.45 | 178.38 | 130.46 | 130.46 | 190.22 | 190.22 | 162.53 | 166.64 | 225.50 | 225.50 |
| 9947 p2 | 120.08 | 124.16 | 171.57 | 178.51 | 130.46 | 130.46 | 190.38 | 190.38 | 162.62 | 166.74 | 225.59 | 225.59 |
| Mean | 120.08 | 124.14 | 171.54 | 178.48 | 130.41 | 130.41 | 190.33 | 190.33 | 162.62 | 166.68 | 225.53 | 225.53 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Min. | 120.00 | 124.09 | 171.43 | 178.38 | 130.35 | 130.35 | 190.22 | 190.22 | 162.53 | 166.59 | 225.45 | 225.45 |
| Max. | 120.23 | 124.23 | 171.69 | 178.65 | 130.50 | 130.50 | 190.42 | 190.42 | 162.78 | 166.78 | 225.63 | 225.63 |
| Range | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.18 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.04$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.06$

Table 5. BodePlex 3 precision - allelic ladders. Allele base pair sizes for the 16 allelic ladders. Tables "a" and " b " represent the first and second injections, respectively. Data for the alleles of each locus are presented in separate tables.
a. First injection. (D3S1358 locus)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \bar{ల} \\ & \bar{\circ} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_at | 111.87 | 116.04 | 119.96 | 123.93 | 128.18 | 132.40 | 136.52 | 140.73 |
| Ladder_b1 | 112.02 | 116.14 | 120.00 | 124.06 | 128.24 | 132.48 | 136.60 | 140.80 |
| Ladder_c1 | 112.06 | 116.10 | 120.03 | 124.08 | 128.25 | 132.48 | 136.52 | 140.80 |
| Ladder_d1 | 111.89 | 115.95 | 119.99 | 123.99 | 128.12 | 132.38 | 136.46 | 140.72 |
| Ladder_e1 | 111.84 | 115.98 | 119.94 | 123.96 | 128.17 | 132.45 | 136.54 | 140.81 |
| Ladder_f1 | 112.00 | 116.07 | 120.04 | 124.05 | 128.26 | 132.46 | 136.62 | 140.72 |
| Ladder_g1 | 111.97 | 116.04 | 120.09 | 124.10 | 128.31 | 132.49 | 136.71 | 140.77 |
| Ladder_h1 | 112.00 | 116.14 | 120.10 | 124.10 | 128.23 | 132.56 | 136.55 | 140.78 |
| Ladder_l1 | 111.96 | 116.08 | 120.03 | 124.09 | 128.28 | 132.44 | 136.56 | 140.69 |
| Ladder_j1 | 112.01 | 116.08 | 120.04 | 124.12 | 128.24 | 132.49 | 136.56 | 140.77 |
| Ladder_k1 | 111.92 | 116.06 | 120.09 | 124.02 | 128.22 | 132.48 | 136.55 | 140.71 |
| Ladder_L1 | 111.98 | 116.04 | 120.07 | 124.00 | 128.21 | 132.39 | 136.47 | 140.72 |
| Ladder_m1 | 112.03 | 116.10 | 120.07 | 124.08 | 128.29 | 132.47 | 136.63 | 140.79 |
| Ladder_n1 | 112.06 | 116.14 | 120.12 | 124.06 | 128.28 | 132.47 | 136.55 | 140.77 |
| Ladder_01 | 111.96 | 116.16 | 120.03 | 124.09 | 128.36 | 132.44 | 136.56 | 140.69 |
| Ladder p1 | 111.64 | 115.64 | 119.66 | 123.62 | 127.89 | 132.26 | 136.48 | 140.76 |
| Mean | 111.95 | 116.05 | 120.02 | 124.02 | 128.22 | 132.45 | 136.56 | 140.75 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| Min. | 111.64 | 115.64 | 119.66 | 123.62 | 127.89 | 132.26 | 136.46 | 140.69 |
| Max. | 112.06 | 116.16 | 120.12 | 124.12 | 128.36 | 132.56 | 136.71 | 140.81 |
| Range | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.12 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.04$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.12$

Table 5. Continued.
a. First injection. (TH01 locus)

|  | $\overline{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \stackrel{\text { N }}{ } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\mathbf{}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \text { + } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { 욱 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\text { 훈 }}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 운 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| La | 155. | 159.51 | 163.53 | 167.53 | 171.52 | 175.51 | 178.53 | 179.49 | 183.46 | 194.31 |
| Lad | 155.3 | 159.51 | 16 | 16 | 171.54 | 175.53 | 178.56 | 9, 59 | 183.49 | 25 |
| Lad | 155.32 | 159.51 | 163 | 167.53 | 171.52 | 175.51 | 178.61 | . 41 | 183.38 | . 2 |
| Lad | 155.3 | 159.52 | 163 | 167.5 | 17 | 175.52 | 178.60 | 179.46 | 183.40 | 194.30 |
| Lad | 155.3 | 159.4 | 163. | 167.5 | 17 | 175.55 | 17 | 179.40 | 183.48 | 194.30 |
| Ladder | 155. | 159.5 | 16 | 167. | 17 | 175.43 | 178.51 | 179.46 | 183.32 | 194.27 |
| Ladde | 155.3 | 159.5 | 16 | 167.61 | 17 | 175.50 | 178.57 | 179.43 | 183.36 | 194.31 |
| Ladde | 155.4 | 159.5 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 175.60 | 178.59 | 179.54 | 183.54 | 194.25 |
| Ladder | 155.3 | 159.6 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 175.46 | 178.58 | 179.52 | 183.48 | 194.31 |
| Ladder | 155.2 | 159 | 163.55 | 16 | 17 | 175.50 | 178.48 | 179.42 | 183.49 | 194.25 |
| Ladder | 155.3 | 159 | 163.48 | 16 | 17 | 175.47 | 178.54 | 179.48 | 183.41 | 194.30 |
| Ladder | 155.4 | 159.4 | 163.49 | 16 | 17 | 175.49 | 178.64 | 179.43 | 183.45 | 194.28 |
| Ladder | 155.40 | 159.5 | 163.55 | 167.5 | 171.43 | 175.44 | 178.58 | 79.5 | 183.3 | 194.31 |
| Lad | 155.40 | 159.5 | 163.66 | 167.63 | 171.66 | 175.61 | 178.60 | 179.62 | 183.62 | 194.33 |
| Lad | 155.3 | 9.6 | 16 | 167.6 | 171.5 | 175.48 | 178.68 | 179.47 | 183.45 | 194.26 |
| Ladder p1 | 155.48 | 159.53 | 163.50 | 167.5 | 171.55 | 175.57 | 178.50 | 179.43 | 183.5 | 194.4 |
| Mean | 155.36 | 159.5 | 163.5 | 167.5 | 171.5 | 175.5 | 178.57 | 79.4 | 183.4 | 194.2 |
| Std. Dev | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | . 0 | 0.04 |
| Min. | 155.2 | 159.4 | 163.4 | 167.43 | 171.43 | 175.43 | 178.48 | 179.40 | 183.32 | 194.24 |
|  | 155.48 | 159.6 | 163.66 | 167.6 | 171.66 | 175.61 | 178.68 | 179.62 | 183.6 | 194.41 |
| Range | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.21 | . 23 | . 18 | 0.20 | 0.22 | . 3 | 0.17 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.04$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.08$

Table 5. Continued.
a. First injection. (D5S818 locus)

|  |  | $N$ $\infty$ 0 0 0 |  |  | $\backsim$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | 茴 | 웅 © 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a 1 | 11 | 18. | 122.09 | 126.16 | 13 | 134.53 | 138. | 143.15 | 147.75 | 152.01 |
| La | 11 | 118.10 | 122.14 | 126.22 | 13 | 134.61 | 138.76 | 143.20 | 147.67 | 152.02 |
| La | 114 | 118.13 | 122.16 | 126.24 | 13 | 134.54 | 138.84 | 143.12 | 147.76 | 152.17 |
| La | 114 | 118.04 | 122.10 | 126.05 | 130 | 134.42 | 138.68 | 143.12 | 147.85 | 151.99 |
| La | 113 | 117.92 | 122.06 | 126.10 | 13 | 134.56 | 138.7 | 143.21 | 147.85 | 152.06 |
| La | 11 | 118.08 | 122.15 | 126.26 | 13 | 134.57 | 138. | 143.13 | 147.77 | 152.06 |
| La | 11 | 18. | 122.13 | 126.23 | 13 | 134.59 | 138. | 143.22 | 147.79 | 151.99 |
| La | 11 | 18. | 122.2 | 126. | 13 | 134.51 | 138 | 143.1 | 147.77 | 2.00 |
| Ladder | 114 | 18.0 | 122.2 | 126.29 | 130 | 134.53 | 138. | 143.0 | 14 | 151.99 |
| Ladder | 114.0 | 118.0 | 122. | 126.2 | 130. | 134.52 | 138.8 | 14 | 147.8 | 151 |
| Ladder | 114.1 | 118.0 | 122 | 126. | 13 | 13 | 138.7 | 143. | 147.70 | 151.9 |
| Ladder | 114.1 | 118.0 | 122. | 126.1 | 130 | 13 | 138.7 | 143.04 | 147.8 | 152. |
| Ladder_m | 114.09 | 118.11 | 122.1 | 126.2 | 130. | 134.58 | 138.8 | 143.26 | 147.87 | 152.0 |
| Ladder_n1 | 114.13 | 118.0 | 122.1 | 126.2 | 130. | 134.58 | 138.8 | 143. | 147. | 152.0 |
| Ladder_01 | 114.09 | 118.16 | 122.2 | 126.2 | 130 | 134.61 | 138 | 14 | 147.80 | 152. |
| Ladder P1 | 113.70 | 117.68 | 121.74 | 12 | 130.06 | 134.40 | 138.8 | 143.2 | 147.8 | 152.1 |
| Mean | 114.06 | 118.0 | 12 | 126.19 | 130. | 134.5 | 138.79 | 143. | 147.78 | 2.0 |
| Std. Dev | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Min. | 113.70 | 117.68 | 121.74 | 125.82 | 130.0 | 134.40 | 138.68 | 143.0 | 147.67 | 151.97 |
| Max. | 114.15 | 118.16 | 122.21 | 126.29 | 130.52 | 134.61 | 138.84 | 143.26 | 147.87 | 152.17 |
| Range | 0.45 | . 48 | . 47 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.2 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.06$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.12$

Table 5. Continued.
a. First injection. (D13S317 locus)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{\%} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{N}{8} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{8} \\ & \stackrel{N}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \stackrel{N}{8} \\ & \frac{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{8} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{N}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{ल}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a1 | 178.37 | 182.43 | 186.39 | 190.20 | 194.16 | 198.19 | 202.08 | 206.08 |
| Ladder_b | 178.40 | 182.38 | 186.42 | 190.22 | 194.25 | 198.11 | 202.16 | 206.02 |
| Ladder_c1 | 178.37 | 182.43 | 186.40 | 190.20 | 194.31 | 198.19 | 202.08 | 206.08 |
| Ladder_d1 | 178.52 | 182.37 | 186.30 | 190.22 | 194.22 | 198.21 | 202.13 | 206.18 |
| Ladder_e1 | 178.38 | 182.38 | 186.31 | 190.15 | 194.14 | 198.20 | 202.13 | 206.09 |
| Ladder_f1 | 178.35 | 182.38 | 186.32 | 190.18 | 194.19 | 198.19 | 202.14 | 206.11 |
| Ladder_g1 | 178.41 | 182.41 | 186.26 | 190.25 | 194.23 | 198.13 | 202.13 | 206.09 |
| Ladder_h1 | 178.44 | 182.52 | 186.36 | 190.27 | 194.17 | 198.21 | 202.06 | 206.10 |
| Ladder_l1 | 178.43 | 182.39 | 186.35 | 190.22 | 194.23 | 198.16 | 202.11 | 206.11 |
| Ladder_j1 | 178.40 | 182.32 | 186.38 | 190.20 | 194.17 | 198.21 | 202.12 | 206.14 |
| Ladder_k1 | 178.38 | 182.31 | 186.31 | 190.23 | 194.22 | 198.28 | 202.21 | 206.16 |
| Ladder_L1 | 178.41 | 182.34 | 186.35 | 190.20 | 194.13 | 198.20 | 202.13 | 206.10 |
| Ladder_m1 | 178.34 | 182.34 | 186.34 | 190.17 | 194.15 | 198.13 | 202.05 | 206.01 |
| Ladder_n1 | 178.52 | 182.45 | 186.36 | 190.27 | 194.25 | 198.22 | 202.13 | 206.18 |
| Ladder_01 | 178.37 | 182.35 | 186.25 | 190.14 | 194.19 | 198.14 | 202.12 | 206.14 |
| Ladder p1 | 178.43 | 182.35 | 186.28 | 190.27 | 194.26 | 198.24 | 202.17 | 206.12 |
| Mean | 178.41 | 182.38 | 186.34 | 190.21 | 194.20 | 198.19 | 202.12 | 206.11 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Min. | 178.34 | 182.31 | 186.25 | 190.14 | 194.13 | 198.11 | 202.05 | 206.01 |
| Max. | 178.52 | 182.52 | 186.42 | 190.27 | 194.31 | 198.28 | 202.21 | 206.18 |
| Range | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.04$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.05$

Table 5. Continued.
a. First injection. (vWA locus)

|  | \% | N | $\sum_{\$}^{\infty}$ | \$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ | \$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a1 | 136.76 | 141.08 | 145.58 | 150.25 | 154.34 | 158.53 | 162.65 |
| Ladder_b1 | 136.84 | 141.06 | 145.52 | 150.17 | 154.36 | 158.60 | 162.65 |
| Ladder_c1 | 136.84 | 141.14 | 145.61 | 150.17 | 154.33 | 158.53 | 162.65 |
| Ladder_d1 | 136.78 | 140.97 | 145.53 | 150.17 | 154.38 | 158.54 | 162.70 |
| Ladder_e1 | 136.77 | 141.15 | 145.62 | 150.25 | 154.35 | 158.56 | 162.69 |
| Ladder_f1 | 136.86 | 141.06 | 145.62 | 150.25 | 154.35 | 158.56 | 162.62 |
| Ladder_g1 | 136.79 | 141.11 | 145.51 | 150.17 | 154.29 | 158.54 | 162.62 |
| Ladder_h | 136.87 | 141.04 | 145.55 | 150.25 | 154.33 | 158.61 | 162.78 |
| Ladder_l1 | 136.88 | 141.02 | 145.59 | 150.17 | 154.38 | 158.54 | 162.68 |
| Ladder_1 | 136.87 | 141.11 | 145.59 | 150.25 | 154.34 | 158.47 | 162.68 |
| Ladder_k1 | 136.79 | 141.05 | 145.56 | 150.17 | 154.26 | 158.48 | 162.61 |
| Ladder_L1 | 136.78 | 141.06 | 145.62 | 150.25 | 154.35 | 158.56 | 162.61 |
| Ladder_m1 | 136.86 | 141.13 | 145.65 | 150.25 | 154.35 | 158.56 | 162.69 |
| Ladder_n1 | 136.86 | 141.11 | 145.58 | 150.17 | 154.32 | 158.61 | 162.71 |
| Ladder_01 | 136.88 | 141.11 | 145.59 | 150.25 | 154.34 | 158.55 | 162.68 |
| Ladder p1 | 136.73 | 141.10 | 145.55 | 150.24 | 154.44 | 158.58 | 162.72 |
| Mean | 136.82 | 141.08 | 145.58 | 150.21 | 154.34 | 158.55 | 162.67 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Min. | 136.73 | 140.97 | 145.51 | 150.17 | 154.26 | 158.47 | 162.61 |
| Max. | 136.88 | 141.15 | 145.65 | 150.25 | 154.44 | 158.61 | 162.78 |
| Range | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.17 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.

Table 5. Continued.
a. First injection. (vWA locus, continued)

|  | $\sum_{\$}^{\infty}$ | \$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{K} \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{N}{\frac{N}{3}}$ | $\frac{m}{5}$ | $\pm$ $\$$ 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a1 | 166.73 | 170.80 | 174.79 | 178.77 | 182.74 | 186.63 | 190.91 |
| Ladder_b1 | 166.74 | 170.74 | 174.82 | 178.80 | 182.85 | 186.66 | 190.93 |
| Ladder_c1 | 166.73 | 170.72 | 174.79 | 178.85 | 182.74 | 186.63 | 190.91 |
| Ladder_d1 | 166.75 | 170.78 | 174.89 | 178.83 | 182.77 | 186.70 | 190.93 |
| Ladder_e1 | 166.72 | 170.74 | 174.76 | 178.77 | 182.70 | 186.62 | 190.93 |
| Ladder_f1 | 166.66 | 170.77 | 174.72 | 178.75 | 182.77 | 186.55 | 190.88 |
| Ladder_g1 | 166.74 | 170.77 | 174.79 | 178.80 | 182.73 | 186.65 | 190.87 |
| Ladder_h1 | 166.83 | 170.87 | 174.89 | 178.91 | 182.83 | 186.75 | 190.97 |
| Ladder_l1 | 166.77 | 170.77 | 174.84 | 178.89 | 182.78 | 186.66 | 190.91 |
| Ladder_j1 | 166.70 | 170.71 | 174.72 | 178.79 | 182.71 | 186.61 | 190.90 |
| Ladder_k1 | 166.64 | 170.67 | 174.76 | 178.77 | 182.70 | 186.63 | 190.86 |
| Ladder_L1 | 166.65 | 170.76 | 174.78 | 178.72 | 182.74 | 186.67 | 190.91 |
| Ladder_m1 | 166.71 | 170.72 | 174.73 | 178.82 | 182.66 | 186.57 | 190.87 |
| Ladder_n1 | 166.75 | 170.79 | 174.90 | 178.91 | 182.84 | 186.68 | 190.97 |
| Ladder_01 | 166.77 | 170.70 | 174.77 | 178.84 | 182.74 | 186.72 | 190.92 |
| Ladder p1 | 166.75 | 170.78 | 174.80 | 178.81 | 182.82 | 186.66 | 190.96 |
| Mean | 166.73 | 170.76 | 174.80 | 178.81 | 182.76 | 186.65 | 190.91 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| Min. | 166.64 | 170.67 | 174.72 | 178.72 | 182.66 | 186.55 | 190.86 |
| Max. | 166.83 | 170.87 | 174.90 | 178.91 | 182.85 | 186.75 | 190.97 |
| Range | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.11 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.03$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.06$

Table 5. Continued.
a. First injection. (D8S1179 locus)

|  | $\frac{9}{2}$ $\frac{8}{8}$ 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{R}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{\circ} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \mathbf{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \frac{8}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毋 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \frac{8}{2} \\ & \frac{8}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder | 201. | 205.68 | 209.70 | 213.65 | 217.54 | 221.52 | 225.52 | 229.54 | 233.48 | 237.45 | 241.51 | 245.59 |
| Ladde | 201.6 | 205.6 | 209.5 | 213.70 | 217.60 | 221.59 | 225.5 | 229.55 | 233.51 | 237.48 | 241.55 | 245.56 |
| Ladder | 201 | 205.68 | 209.7 | 213.6 | 217.6 | 221.52 | 225.5 | 229.53 | 233.56 | 237.52 | 241.49 | 245.57 |
| Ladder | 201 | 205.7 | 209.6 | 213.5 | 217.5 | 221.6 | 225.5 | 229.53 | 233.52 | 237.51 | 241.53 | 245.56 |
| Ladder_e1 | 201. | 205.69 | 209.6 | 213.6 | 217.6 | 221.58 | 225.5 | 229.57 | 233.5 | 237.54 | 241.54 | 245.56 |
| Ladder | 201 | 205.7 | 209.6 | 213.6 | 217.5 | 221.58 | 225.5 | 229.52 | 233.52 | 237.53 | 241.55 | 245.59 |
| Ladder_g1 | 201. | 205.70 | 209.6 | 213.58 | 217.58 | 221.59 | 225.5 | 229.51 | 233. | 237.57 | 241.50 | 245.52 |
| Ladder_ | 201.7 | 205.70 | 209.68 | 213.6 | 217.60 | 221.55 | 225.5 | 229.48 | 233.55 | 237.47 | 241.58 | 245.53 |
| Ladder_l1 | 201.7 | 205.6 | 209.58 | 213.53 | 217.57 | 221.47 | 225. | 229.47 | 233. | 237.45 | 241.42 | 245.48 |
| Ladder_j1 | 201.73 | 205.75 | 209.7 | 213.66 | 217.6 | 221.64 | 225.5 | 229.59 | 233.5 | 237.59 | 241.57 | 245.6 |
| Ladder_k1 | 201.73 | 205.69 | 209.65 | 213.7 | 217.62 | 221.63 | 225.5 | 229.52 | 233.57 | 237.55 | 241.54 | 245.55 |
| Ladder_L1 | 201.7 | 205.70 | 209.7 | 213.66 | 217.67 | 221.60 | 225.6 | 229.60 | 233.58 | 237.49 | 241.58 | 245.68 |
| Ladder_m1 | 201.66 | 205.62 | 209.59 | 213.58 | 217.58 | 221.52 | 225. | 229.51 | 233.49 | 237.49 | 241.50 | 245.52 |
| Ladder_n1 | 201.74 | 205.78 | 209.68 | 213.67 | 217.6 | 221.61 | 225.5 | 229.54 | 233.60 | 237.60 | 241.53 | 245.64 |
| Ladder_01 | 201.81 | 205.74 | 209.6 | 213.66 | 217.7 | 221.63 | 225. | 229.58 | 233.62 | 237.59 | 24 | 245.65 |
| Ladder p1 | 201.78 | 205.74 | 209.71 | 213.69 | 217.69 | 221.62 | 225.57 | 229.61 | 233.58 | 237.65 | 241.58 | 245.68 |
| M | 201.7 | 205.70 | 209.6 | 213.6 | 217.6 | 221.58 | 225.5 | 229.54 | 233.5 | 237.53 | 241.53 | 245.58 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Min. | 201.66 | 205.62 | 209.57 | 213.53 | 217.54 | 221.47 | 225.46 | 229.47 | 233.41 | 237.45 | 241.42 | 245.48 |
| Max. | 201.81 | 205.78 | 209.75 | 213.71 | 217.72 | 221.64 | 225.64 | 229.61 | 233.62 | 237.65 | 241.58 | 245.68 |
| Range | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.20 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.03$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.06$

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (D3S1358 locus)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & 0 \\ & \text { on } \\ & \overline{\%} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & 00 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \% \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 几 } \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \text { Kon } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 苟 } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a2 | 111.95 | 116.00 | 120.02 | 124.09 | 128.21 | 132.37 | 136.59 | 140.73 |
| Ladder_b2 | 111.93 | 116.15 | 120.11 | 124.04 | 128.25 | 132.43 | 136.58 | 140.82 |
| Ladder_c2 | 111.89 | 116.03 | 119.98 | 123.98 | 128.18 | 132.43 | 136.50 | 140.73 |
| Ladder_d2 | 111.92 | 116.08 | 120.00 | 124.03 | 128.20 | 132.49 | 136.52 | 140.73 |
| Ladder_e2 | 111.96 | 116.13 | 120.05 | 124.08 | 128.32 | 132.52 | 136.54 | 140.79 |
| Ladder_f2 | 111.96 | 116.04 | 120.02 | 123.97 | 128.13 | 132.34 | 136.45 | 140.73 |
| Ladder_g2 | 111.93 | 116.10 | 120.02 | 124.05 | 128.29 | 132.50 | 136.61 | 140.89 |
| Ladder_h2 | 112.06 | 116.14 | 120.05 | 124.08 | 128.31 | 132.44 | 136.61 | 140.80 |
| Ladder_12 | 111.91 | 116.07 | 120.06 | 124.09 | 128.24 | 132.52 | 136.62 | 140.79 |
| Ladder_j2 | 111.96 | 116.13 | 120.05 | 124.08 | 128.24 | 132.44 | 136.54 | 140.79 |
| Ladder_k2 | 111.83 | 116.08 | 120.08 | 123.97 | 128.21 | 132.43 | 136.53 | 140.78 |
| Ladder_L2 | 111.96 | 115.99 | 120.06 | 124.03 | 128.20 | 132.41 | 136.53 | 140.72 |
| Ladder_m2 | 111.99 | 116.01 | 120.00 | 124.03 | 128.20 | 132.41 | 136.52 | 140.73 |
| Ladder_n2 | 111.96 | 116.06 | 120.06 | 124.10 | 128.27 | 132.49 | 136.61 | 140.80 |
| Ladder_02 | 111.68 | 115.69 | 119.56 | 123.67 | 127.86 | 132.23 | 136.44 | 140.78 |
| Ladder p2 | 111.54 | 115.64 | 119.54 | 123.60 | 127.83 | 132.17 | 136.53 | 140.88 |
| Mean | 111.90 | 116.02 | 119.98 | 123.99 | 128.18 | 132.41 | 136.55 | 140.78 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Min. | 111.54 | 115.64 | 119.54 | 123.60 | 127.83 | 132.17 | 136.44 | 140.72 |
| Max. | 112.06 | 116.15 | 120.11 | 124.10 | 128.32 | 132.52 | 136.62 | 140.89 |
| Range | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.17 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.05$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.17$

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (TH01 locus)

|  | 둑 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{r}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { 옥 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 푹 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\text { ºn }}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 욱 } \\ & \text { 웅 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ® } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ | 온 운 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a1 | 155.28 | 159.51 | 163.47 | 167.58 | 171.59 | 175.52 | 178.65 | 179.45 | 183.45 | 194.36 |
| Ladder_b1 | 155.33 | 159.51 | 163.46 | 167.57 | 171.50 | 175.44 | 178.56 | 179.45 | 183.29 | 194.25 |
| Ladder | 155.28 | 159.51 | 163.55 | 167.50 | 171.51 | 175.52 | 178.49 | 179.53 | 183.37 | 194.28 |
| Ladder_d1 | 155.37 | 159.44 | 163.43 | 167.50 | 171.48 | 175.45 | 178.39 | 179.34 | 183.39 | 194.23 |
| Ladder_e1 | 155.40 | 159.51 | 163.59 | 167.50 | 171.55 | 175.51 | 178.52 | 179.39 | 183.34 | 194.20 |
| Ladder_f1 | 155.29 | 159.44 | 163.43 | 167.50 | 171.40 | 175.45 | 178.55 | 179.42 | 183.39 | 194.23 |
| Ladder_g1 | 155.45 | 159.52 | 163.50 | 167.55 | 171.60 | 175.56 | 178.57 | 179.44 | 183.47 | 194.42 |
| Ladder_h1 | 155.37 | 159.52 | 163.59 | 167.56 | 171.54 | 175.51 | 178.52 | 179.47 | 183.51 | 194.33 |
| Ladder_11 | 155.32 | 159.44 | 163.48 | 167.44 | 171.55 | 175.41 | 178.41 | 179.43 | 183.37 | 194.28 |
| Ladder ${ }^{1}$ | 155.32 | 159.43 | 163.51 | 167.58 | 171.55 | 175.60 | 178.53 | 179.48 | 183.43 | 194.28 |
| Ladder_k | 155.36 | 159.51 | 163.53 | 167.60 | 171.58 | 175.63 | 178.56 | 179.59 | 183.54 | 194.30 |
| Ladder | 155.32 | 159.43 | 163.44 | 167.60 | 171.50 | 175.48 | 178.50 | 179.46 | 183.42 | 194.33 |
| Ladder_m | 155.41 | 159.59 | 163.60 | 167.58 | 171.56 | 175.54 | 178.47 | 179.58 | 183.46 | 194.42 |
| Ladder_n1 | 155.32 | 159.43 | 163.52 | 167.60 | 171.58 | 175.56 | 178.58 | 179.46 | 183.46 | 194.25 |
| Ladder_01 | 155.32 | 159.43 | 163.59 | 167.64 | 171.60 | 175.55 | 178.71 | 179.65 | 183.51 | 194.31 |
| Ladder p1 | 155.41 | 159.53 | 163.51 | 167.63 | 171.52 | 175.63 | 178.58 | 179.51 | 183.39 | 194.37 |
| Mean | 155.35 | 159.48 | 163.51 | 167.56 | 171.54 | 175.52 | 178.54 | 179.48 | 183.42 | 194.30 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Min. | 155.28 | 159.43 | 163.43 | 167.44 | 171.40 | 175.41 | 178.39 | 179.34 | 183.29 | 194.20 |
| Max. | 155.45 | 159.59 | 163.60 | 167.64 | 171.60 | 175.63 | 178.71 | 179.65 | 183.54 | 194.42 |
| Range | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.22 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.05$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.08$

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (D5S818 locus)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \frac{0}{8} \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | N $\infty$ 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{\infty}{8} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \% \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \% \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\%}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder | 113.97 | 118.12 | 122.16 | 126.18 | 130.40 | 134.51 | 138.84 | 143.15 | 147.66 | 152.02 |
| Ladder_b1 | 114.11 | 118.05 | 122.11 | 126.22 | 130.45 | 134.58 | 138.76 | 143.24 | 147.83 | 152.01 |
| Ladder_c1 | 113.99 | 118.00 | 122.13 | 126.23 | 130.38 | 134.50 | 138.76 | 143.15 | 147.74 | 152.02 |
| Ladder_d1 | 114.07 | 118.03 | 122.05 | 126.19 | 130.38 | 134.54 | 138.76 | 143.25 | 147.84 | 152.08 |
| Ladder_e1 | 114.12 | 118.16 | 122.17 | 126.31 | 130.41 | 134.64 | 138.84 | 143.25 | 147.69 | 152.00 |
| Ladder_f1 | 114.03 | 117.99 | 122.07 | 126.12 | 130.31 | 134.55 | 138.68 | 143.07 | 147.66 | 151.99 |
| Ladder_g1 | 114.09 | 118.13 | 122.22 | 126.28 | 130.47 | 134.55 | 138.92 | 143.21 | 147.76 | 152.07 |
| Ladder_h1 | 114.13 | 118.17 | 122.17 | 126.30 | 130.41 | 134.72 | 138.84 | 143.21 | 147.76 | 152.24 |
| Ladder_I1 | 114.06 | 118.10 | 122.18 | 126.23 | 130.41 | 134.56 | 138.84 | 143.18 | 147.78 | 152.06 |
| Ladder_j1 | 114.12 | 118.09 | 122.17 | 126.23 | 130.49 | 134.56 | 138.76 | 143.17 | 147.69 | 152.00 |
| Ladder_k1 | 113.91 | 118.04 | 122.14 | 126.13 | 130.39 | 134.55 | 138.68 | 143.16 | 147.60 | 151.93 |
| Ladder_L1 | 114.04 | 118.09 | 122.11 | 126.18 | 130.38 | 134.46 | 138.76 | 143.12 | 147.67 | 152.01 |
| Ladder_m1 | 114.07 | 118.11 | 122.12 | 126.19 | 130.38 | 134.62 | 138.84 | 143.15 | 147.74 | 152.18 |
| Ladder_n1 | 114.04 | 118.09 | 122.11 | 126.18 | 130.46 | 134.62 | 138.92 | 143.20 | 147.76 | 152.01 |
| Ladder_01 | 113.71 | 117.77 | 121.76 | 125.83 | 130.07 | 134.41 | 138.75 | 143.25 | 147.69 | 152.17 |
| Ladder p1 | 113.61 | 117.54 | 121.63 | 125.74 | 130.03 | 134.42 | 138.75 | 143.36 | 147.96 | 152.11 |
| Mean | 114.00 | 118.03 | 122.08 | 126.16 | 130.36 | 134.55 | 138.79 | 143.20 | 147.74 | 152.06 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Min. | 113.61 | 117.54 | 121.63 | 125.74 | 130.03 | 134.41 | 138.68 | 143.07 | 147.60 | 151.93 |
| Max. | 114.13 | 118.17 | 122.22 | 126.31 | 130.49 | 134.72 | 138.92 | 143.36 | 147.96 | 152.24 |
| Range | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.31 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.07$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.16$

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (D13S317 locus)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $N$ $N$ N N | ल $\stackrel{N}{0}$ $\stackrel{n}{0}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\mu}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ominus} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a | 178.41 | 182.41 | 186.40 | 190.22 | 194.28 | 198.18 | 202.09 | 206.13 |
| Ladder_b1 | 178.40 | 182.25 | 186.33 | 190.10 | 194.17 | 198.17 | 202.10 | 205.98 |
| Ladder_c1 | 178.41 | 182.41 | 186.32 | 190.30 | 194.20 | 198.18 | 202.01 | 206.13 |
| Ladder_d1 | 178.31 | 182.28 | 186.24 | 190.12 | 194.08 | 198.11 | 202.07 | 206.07 |
| Ladder_e1 | 178.36 | 182.39 | 186.34 | 190.12 | 194.12 | 198.04 | 201.99 | 206.14 |
| Ladder_f1 | 178.31 | 182.36 | 186.16 | 190.12 | 194.31 | 198.19 | 202.16 | 206.08 |
| Ladder_g1 | 178.49 | 182.36 | 186.31 | 190.17 | 194.19 | 198.27 | 202.07 | 206.06 |
| Ladder_h1 | 178.44 | 182.40 | 186.27 | 190.14 | 194.17 | 198.19 | 202.15 | 206.14 |
| Ladder_l1 | 178.33 | 182.34 | 186.35 | 190.20 | 194.20 | 198.20 | 202.14 | 206.11 |
| Ladder_j1 | 178.45 | 182.40 | 186.34 | 190.20 | 194.28 | 198.20 | 202.14 | 206.05 |
| Ladder_k1 | 178.48 | 182.51 | 186.53 | 190.38 | 194.38 | 198.28 | 202.15 | 206.21 |
| Ladder_L1 | 178.34 | 182.39 | 186.35 | 190.22 | 194.17 | 198.11 | 202.08 | 206.09 |
| Ladder_m1 | 178.55 | 182.43 | 186.38 | 190.17 | 194.26 | 198.20 | 202.08 | 206.08 |
| Ladder_n1 | 178.42 | 182.47 | 186.43 | 190.22 | 194.25 | 198.19 | 202.08 | 206.08 |
| Ladder_01 | 178.55 | 182.48 | 186.49 | 190.25 | 194.39 | 198.29 | 202.14 | 206.19 |
| Ladder p1 | 178.35 | 182.54 | 186.41 | 190.20 | 194.21 | 198.23 | 202.10 | 206.01 |
| Mean | 178.41 | 182.40 | 186.35 | 190.20 | 194.23 | 198.19 | 202.10 | 206.10 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Min. | 178.31 | 182.25 | 186.16 | 190.10 | 194.08 | 198.04 | 201.99 | 205.98 |
| Max. | 178.55 | 182.54 | 186.53 | 190.38 | 194.39 | 198.29 | 202.16 | 206.21 |
| Range | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.23 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.05$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.09$

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (vWA locus)

|  | ${ }_{3}^{5}$ | N | $\sum_{3}^{\infty}$ | \$ | $\sum_{3}^{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a1 | 136.83 | 141.07 | 145.57 | 150.17 | 154.28 | 158.52 | 162.66 |
| Ladder_b1 | 136.82 | 141.08 | 145.58 | 150.25 | 154.33 | 158.53 | 162.58 |
| Ladder_c1 | 136.74 | 141.07 | 145.58 | 150.17 | 154.37 | 158.52 | 162.66 |
| Ladder_d1 | 136.76 | 141.08 | 145.58 | 150.17 | 154.30 | 158.55 | 162.63 |
| Ladder_e1 | 136.78 | 141.13 | 145.55 | 150.17 | 154.33 | 158.53 | 162.64 |
| Ladder_f1 | 136.77 | 141.08 | 145.50 | 150.17 | 154.30 | 158.47 | 162.55 |
| Ladder_g1 | 136.85 | 141.06 | 145.61 | 150.25 | 154.38 | 158.54 | 162.71 |
| Ladder_h1 | 136.85 | 141.15 | 145.61 | 150.25 | 154.38 | 158.54 | 162.71 |
| Ladder_l1 | 136.85 | 141.13 | 145.65 | 150.25 | 154.35 | 158.56 | 162.61 |
| Ladder_j1 | 136.78 | 141.13 | 145.55 | 150.17 | 154.33 | 158.53 | 162.64 |
| Ladder_k1 | 136.77 | 141.04 | 145.55 | 150.17 | 154.36 | 158.60 | 162.73 |
| Ladder_L1 | 136.77 | 141.06 | 145.52 | 150.17 | 154.33 | 158.53 | 162.64 |
| Ladder_m1 | 136.76 | 141.08 | 145.58 | 150.25 | 154.42 | 158.61 | 162.72 |
| Ladder_n1 | 136.84 | 141.06 | 145.61 | 150.17 | 154.33 | 158.53 | 162.72 |
| Ladder_01 | 136.69 | 141.12 | 145.55 | 150.17 | 154.41 | 158.61 | 162.79 |
| Ladder p1 | 136.70 | 141.14 | 145.66 | 150.41 | 154.53 | 158.66 | 162.65 |
| Mean | 136.79 | 141.09 | 145.58 | 150.21 | 154.36 | 158.55 | 162.67 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Min. | 136.69 | 141.04 | 145.50 | 150.17 | 154.28 | 158.47 | 162.55 |
| Max. | 136.85 | 141.15 | 145.66 | 150.41 | 154.53 | 158.66 | 162.79 |
| Range | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.24 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (vWA locus, continued)

|  | $\frac{\infty}{\xi}$ | $\sum_{\xi}^{\infty}$ | $\frac{0}{3}$ | $\frac{\overline{3}}{5}$ | $\frac{N}{\frac{N}{3}}$ | $\frac{m}{\frac{m}{3}}$ | $\frac{ \pm}{\$}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder_a1 | 166.69 | 170.71 | 174.72 | 178.81 | 182.81 | 186.72 | 190.94 |
| Ladder_b1 | 166.68 | 170.62 | 174.71 | 178.72 | 182.73 | 186.57 | 190.81 |
| Ladder_c1 | 166.69 | 170.71 | 174.72 | 178.81 | 182.73 | 186.64 | 190.86 |
| Ladder_d1 | 166.62 | 170.68 | 174.74 | 178.71 | 182.67 | 186.56 | 190.83 |
| Ladder_e1 | 166.70 | 170.75 | 174.72 | 178.76 | 182.71 | 186.65 | 190.90 |
| Ladder_f1 | 166.70 | 170.76 | 174.74 | 178.71 | 182.67 | 186.56 | 190.91 |
| Ladder_g1 | 166.76 | 170.73 | 174.77 | 178.81 | 182.76 | 186.62 | 190.88 |
| Ladder_h1 | 166.69 | 170.74 | 174.79 | 178.76 | 182.71 | 186.67 | 190.86 |
| Ladder_11 | 166.65 | 170.68 | 174.78 | 178.80 | 182.74 | 186.67 | 190.83 |
| Ladder_j1 | 166.70 | 170.76 | 174.81 | 178.85 | 182.80 | 186.66 | 190.99 |
| Ladder_k1 | 166.80 | 170.87 | 174.84 | 178.88 | 182.83 | 186.77 | 191.01 |
| Ladder_L1 | 166.72 | 170.71 | 174.77 | 178.82 | 182.71 | 186.67 | 190.94 |
| Ladder_m1 | 166.79 | 170.85 | 174.82 | 178.79 | 182.75 | 186.70 | 190.88 |
| Ladder_n1 | 166.72 | 170.79 | 174.77 | 178.82 | 182.79 | 186.67 | 190.94 |
| Ladder_01 | 166.76 | 170.81 | 174.84 | 178.86 | 182.88 | 186.72 | 190.95 |
| Ladder_p1 | 166.78 | 170.82 | 174.86 | 178.89 | 182.77 | 186.64 | 190.89 |
| Mean | 166.72 | 170.75 | 174.78 | 178.80 | 182.75 | 186.66 | 190.90 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Min. | 166.62 | 170.62 | 174.71 | 178.71 | 182.67 | 186.56 | 190.81 |
| Max. | 166.80 | 170.87 | 174.86 | 178.89 | 182.88 | 186.77 | 191.01 |
| Range | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.20 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.03$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.07$

Table 5. Continued.
b. Second injection. (D8S1179 locus)

|  | $\stackrel{-}{8}$ $\bar{\circ}$ B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{N}{5} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{D}{5} \\ & \dot{5} \\ & \Delta \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{8} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\overline{2}} \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | 웅 <br> $\frac{1}{9}$ <br> $\frac{8}{0}$ | $$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladder | 201.69 | 205.64 | 209.61 | 213.59 | 217.59 | 221.52 | 225.47 | 9.5 | 233.49 | 7.48 | 1.49 | 245.51 |
| Ladder | 201.70 | 205.66 | 209.64 | 213.55 | 217.64 | 221.58 | 225.46 | 229.51 | 233.50 | 237.50 | 51 | 245.55 |
| Ladder | 201.69 | 205.65 | 209.61 | 213.60 | 217.52 | 221.53 | 225.48 | 229.4 | 233.42 | 237.42 | 241.51 | 245.45 |
| Ladder_d1 | 201.67 | 205.67 | 209.60 | 213.63 | 217.59 | 221.48 | 225.39 | 229.4 | 233.42 | 237.46 | 241.43 | 245.49 |
| Ladder_e1 | 201.67 | 205.58 | 209.59 | 213.61 | 217.56 | 221.53 | 225.5 | 229.4 | 233.52 | 237.47 | 241.51 | 45.49 |
| Ladder_f1 | 201.68 | 205.68 | 209.70 | 213.65 | 217.61 | 221.59 | 225.51 | 229.5 | 233.54 | 237.49 | 1.63 | 245.6 |
| Ladder_g1 | 201.75 | 205.66 | 209.66 | 213.60 | 217.63 | 221.51 | 225.50 | 229.4 | 233.42 | 237.45 | 241 | 245.55 |
| Ladder_h1 | 201.75 | 205.66 | 209.6 | 213.61 | 217.65 | 221.5 | 225.45 | 229.4 | 233.47 | 237.50 | 241.55 | 245.54 |
| Ladder_11 | 201.74 | 205.71 | 209.6 | 213.60 | 217.61 | 221.6 | 225.5 | 229.5 | 233.54 | 237.54 | 241.55 | 245.58 |
| Ladder_1 | 201.75 | 205.73 | 209.6 | 213.65 | 217.59 | 221.5 | 225.5 | 229.5 | 233.50 | 237.5 | 241.5 | 245.58 |
| Ladder_k1 | 201.75 | 205.73 | 209.65 | 213.7 | 217.60 | 221.5 | 225.54 | 229.5 | 233.53 | 237.5 | 241. | 245.62 |
| Ladder_L1 | 201.68 | 205.68 | 209.62 | 213.58 | 217.62 | 221.6 | 225.52 | 229.53 | 233.47 | 237.5 | 241.57 | 245.55 |
| Ladder_m | 201.68 | 205.68 | 209.61 | 213.64 | 217.60 | 221.58 | 225.49 | 229.50 | 233.5 | 237.48 | 241.53 | 245.52 |
| Ladder_n1 | 201.76 | 205.76 | 209.69 | 213.64 | 217.60 | 221.58 | 225.49 | 229.50 | 233.52 | 237.5 | 241.53 | 245.51 |
| Ladder_01 | 201.74 | 205.79 | 209.77 | 213.69 | 217.70 | 221.6 | 225.60 | 229.58 | 233.56 | 237.5 | 241.5 | 245.53 |
| Ladder p1 | 201.79 | 205.77 | 209.77 | 213.70 | 217.65 | 221.61 | 225.58 | 229.57 | 233.58 | 237.52 | 241.55 | 245.60 |
| Mean | 201.72 | 205.69 | 209.66 | 213.63 | 217.61 | 221.57 | 225.50 | 229.50 | 233.50 | 237.50 | 241.53 | 245.54 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Min. | 201.67 | 205.58 | 209.59 | 213.55 | 217.52 | 221.48 | 225.39 | 229.40 | 233.42 | 237.42 | 241.43 | 245.45 |
| Max. | 201.79 | 205.79 | 209.77 | 213.74 | 217.70 | 221.64 | 225.60 | 229.58 | 233.58 | 237.57 | 241.63 | 245.62 |
| Range | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

Std. Dev.: standard deviation, Min.: minimum, Max.: maximum.
Minimum Std. Dev. $=0.04$
Maximum Std. Dev. $=0.06$

Table 6. BodePlex 3 reproducibility. Genotypes of the 16 9947A samples amplified with BodePlex 3. Data represents two separate injections.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\%} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\bar{o}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\bar{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \mathbf{o} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{\tilde{N}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{k}$ | $\underset{k}{N}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{i} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947_a1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_a2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_b1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_b2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_c1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_c2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_d1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_d2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_e1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_e2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_f1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_f2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_g1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_g2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_h1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_h2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_11 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_12 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947 11 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947 j2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_k1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_k2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_L1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_L2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_m1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_m2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_n1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_n2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_01 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_02 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_p1 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9947_p2 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |

Table 7. Species DNA samples. Two different template amounts for each species DNA were amplified with BodePlex 3.

| Species | Amount \#1 (ng) | Amount \#2 (ng) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beaver | 0.25 | 5 |
| Bovine | 0.25 | 5 |
| C. albicans | 0.25 | 5 |
| Chicken | 0.25 | 5 |
| Cottontail rabbit | 0.25 | 5 |
| Coyote | 0.25 | 5 |
| Dog | 0.25 | 5 |
| E. coli | 0.25 | 5 |
| Mink | 0.25 | 5 |
| Monkey | 0.25 | 5 |
| Mouse | 0.25 | 5 |
| Pig | 0.25 | 5 |
| Rabbit | 0.25 | 5 |
| Rat | 0.25 | 5 |
| Salmon | 0.25 | 5 |

Table 8. Species samples that produced peaks when amplified with BodePlex 3.

| Species | DNA amount <br> (ng) | Base pair <br> size | Peak height <br> (RFU) | Locus/allele <br> equivalent | Dye <br> color |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chicken | 0.25 | 130.49 | 56 | D5S818(11) | Green |
| E. coli | 5 | 182.67 | 59 | TH01 (OL) | Blue |
| Monkey | 0.25 | 241.51 | 425 | None | Green |
|  |  | 245.57 | 935 | None | Green |
| Monkey | 5 | 149.57 | 181 | D3S1358 (OL) | Blue <br> Green <br>  |
| 241.36 | 9838 | None <br> None | Green |  |  |
| Mouse | 5 | 128.49 | 9278 | 104 | D3S1358(16) | Blue | Pig |
| :--- |

[^0]Table 9. BodePlex 3 sensitivity - 9948 samples. Ten different 9948 DNA template amounts were amplified with BodePlex 3 in triplicate. Average peak height values at each locus were calculated and reported in RFU. The total average peak height value (Total av. value) represents all loci. Highlighted cells denote allelic drop out at heterozygote loci.

| Sample info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948 a _Ong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948b_Ong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948c_Ong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mean | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Range | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948a_0.005ng | 55 | 0 | 72 | 105 | 52 | 0 |  |
| 9948b_0.005ng | 0 | 0 | 81 | 88 | 123 | 115.5 |  |
| 9948c_0.005ng | 71 | 56 | 119 | 0 | 131 | 0 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 42.00 | 18.67 | 90.67 | 64.33 | 102.00 | 38.50 | 59.36 |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 72.00 | 0.00 | 52.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 71.00 | 56.00 | 119.00 | 105.00 | 131.00 | 115.50 |  |
| Range | 71.00 | 56.00 | 47.00 | 105.00 | 79.00 | 115.50 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948 a _0.01ng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948 b _0.01ng | 140.5 | 84 | 59 | 144 | 195 | 76.5 |  |
| 9948 c 0.01ng | 124.5 | 0 | 153.5 | 138 | 74 | 0 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 88.33 | 28.00 | 70.83 | 94.00 | 89.67 | 25.50 | 66.06 |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 140.50 | 84.00 | 153.50 | 144.00 | 195.00 | 76.50 |  |
| Range | 140.50 | 84.00 | 153.50 | 144.00 | 195.00 | 76.50 |  |

Table 9. Continued.


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | WWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948 a _0.05ng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948 b _0.05ng | 458.5 | 172.5 | 431 | 886 | 871 | 286 |  |
| 9948 c _0.05ng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 152.83 | 57.50 | 143.67 | 295.33 | 290.33 | 95.33 | 172.50 |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 458.50 | 172.50 | 431.00 | 886.00 | 871.00 | 286.00 |  |
| Range | 458.50 | 172.50 | 431.00 | 886.00 | 871.00 | 286.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | WWA | D8S1179 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948a_0.1ng | 420 | 231 | 412 | 566 | 591 | 231 |
| 9948b_0.1ng | 1308 | 434 | 973 | 1313 | 1400 | 422 |
| 9948c_0.1ng | 801 | 383 | 1003 | 1393 | 1345 | 510.5 | Total av. value


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948 a _0.25ng | 2883.5 | 1220.5 | 2375.5 | 3281 | 4110 | 1902.5 |  |
| 9948b_0.25ng | 3008 | 1050.5 | 2631 | 3585 | 3317 | 2153.5 |  |
| 9948c_0.25ng | 2482.5 | 1059 | 2100 | 3256 | 3150 | 1320.5 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 2791.33 | 1110.00 | 2368.83 | 3374.00 | 3525.67 | 1792.17 | 2493.67 |
| Min. | 2482.50 | 1050.50 | 2100.00 | 3256.00 | 3150.00 | 1320.50 |  |
| Max. | 3008.00 | 1220.50 | 2631.00 | 3585.00 | 4110.00 | 2153.50 |  |
| Range | 525.50 | 170.00 | 531.00 | 329.00 | 960.00 | 833.00 |  |

Table 9. Continued.

| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948a_0.5ng | 4925 | 1504 | 4037.5 | 6483 | 6159 | 2602.5 |  |
| 9948b_0.5ng | 4705.5 | 2128.5 | 3630 | 5875 | 5533 | 2381.5 |  |
| 9948c_0.5ng | 4953.5 | 1774.5 | 4126 | 5432 | 5842 | 2216.5 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 4861.33 | 1802.33 | 3931.17 | 5930.00 | 5844.67 | 2400.17 | 4128.28 |
| Min. | 4705.50 | 1504.00 | 3630.00 | 5432.00 | 5533.00 | 2216.50 |  |
| Max. | 4953.50 | 2128.50 | 4126.00 | 6483.00 | 6159.00 | 2602.50 |  |
| Range | 248.00 | 624.50 | 496.00 | 1051.00 | 626.00 | 386.00 |  |


| Sample_Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948 a _Ing | 7895.5 | 3949.5 | 7876 | 9551 | 7318 | 4977.5 |
| 9948 b _1ng | 7976 | 4486.5 | 9445 | 9456 | 7342 | 5818 |
| 9948c_1ng | 8045 | 3936 | 8890 | 9693 | 7439 | 5151.5 |
| Mean | 7972.17 | 4124.00 | 8737.00 | 9566.67 | 7366.33 | 5315.67 |
| Min. | 7895.50 | 3936.00 | 7876.00 | 9456.00 | 7318.00 | 4977.50 |
| Max. | 8045.00 | 4486.50 | 9445.00 | 9693.00 | 7439.00 | 5818.00 |
| Range | 149.50 | 550.50 | 1569.00 | 237.00 | 121.00 | 840.50 |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9948 a _2ng | 7897.5 | 7153 | 8944 | 9192 | 7221 | 7575.5 |  |
| 9948 b _2ng | 8207.5 | 7811 | 8720.5 | 9191 | 4581 | 7043.5 |  |
| 9948 c 2ng | 8448 | 7682 | 8813 | 8788 | 5103 | 7235.5 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 8184.33 | 7548.67 | 8825.83 | 9057.00 | 5635.00 | 7284.83 | 7755.94 |
| Min. | 7897.50 | 7153.00 | 8720.50 | 8788.00 | 4581.00 | 7043.50 |  |
| Max. | 8448.00 | 7811.00 | 8944.00 | 9192.00 | 7221.00 | 7575.50 |  |
| Range | 550.50 | 658.00 | 223.50 | 404.00 | 2640.00 | 532.00 |  |

Table 10. BodePlex 3 sensitivity - 9947A samples. Ten different 9947A DNA template amounts were amplified with BodePlex 3 in triplicate. Average peak height values at each locus were calculated and reported in RFU. The total average peak height value (Total av. value) represents all loci. Highlighted cells denote allelic drop out.

| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9947a_Ong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947b_Ong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947c_Ong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Range | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9947 a \_0.005 \mathrm{ng}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947 b _0.005ng | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947 c 0.005 ng |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.00 | 17.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.44 |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 81.00 | 53.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Range | 0.00 | 0.00 | 81.00 | 53.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947a_0.01ng | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947b_0.01ng | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 51 | 0 |  |
| 9947c_0.01ng | 58 |  | 0 | 78 | 0 | 79.5 | 61 |
| Mean | 19.33 | 0.00 | 54.33 | 0.00 | 43.50 | 20.33 | Total av. value |
| Min. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Max. | 58.00 | 0.00 | 85.00 | 0.00 | 79.50 | 61.00 |  |
| Range | 58.00 | 0.00 | 85.00 | 0.00 | 79.50 | 61.00 |  |

Table 10. Continued.

| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $9947 \mathrm{a} \_0.025 \mathrm{ng}$ | 119.5 | 0 | 269 | 177 | 0 | 132 |  |
| 9947b_0.025ng | 84 | 0 | 94 | 70 | 0 | 96 |  |
| 9947c_0.025ng | 123 |  | 0 | 177 | 57 | 64 | 81 |
| Mean | 108.83 | 0.00 | 180.00 | 101.33 | 21.33 | 103.00 | Total av. value |
| Min. | 84.00 | 0.00 | 94.00 | 57.00 | 0.00 | 81.00 |  |
| Max. | 123.00 | 0.00 | 269.00 | 177.00 | 64.00 | 132.00 |  |
| Range | 39.00 | 0.00 | 175.00 | 120.00 | 64.00 | 51.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 9947 a_0.05ng | 194 | 0 | 218 | 146 | 83 | 205 |
| 9947 b _0.05ng | 346.5 | 0 | 403 | 382 | 99 | 401 |
| 9947 c_0.05ng | 138.5 | 54 | 371 | 262 | 103 | 344 |
| Mean | 226.33 | 18.00 | 330.67 | 263.33 | 95.00 | 316.67 |
| Min. | 138.50 | 0.00 | 218.00 | 146.00 | 83.00 | 205.00 |
| Max. | 346.50 | 54.00 | 403.00 | 382.00 | 103.00 | 401.00 |
| Range | 208.00 | 54.00 | 185.00 | 236.00 | 20.00 | 196.00 |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 | Total av. value$322.50$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947a_0.1ng | 310.5 | 66 | 791 | 380 | 182.5 | 323 |  |
| 9947b_0.1ng | 359.5 | 68 | 642 | 390 | 208 | 369 |  |
| 9947c_0.1ng | 212.5 | 50 | 451 | 441 | 203 | 358 |  |
| Mean | 294.17 | 61.33 | 628.00 | 403.67 | 197.83 | 350.00 |  |
| Min. | 212.50 | 50.00 | 451.00 | 380.00 | 182.50 | 323.00 |  |
| Max. | 359.50 | 68.00 | 791.00 | 441.00 | 208.00 | 369.00 |  |
| Range | 147.00 | 18.00 | 340.00 | 61.00 | 25.50 | 46.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9947 __0.25ng | 1476.5 | 205.5 | 2848 | 1995 | 850 | 1750 |  |
| 9947 b _0.25ng | 653.5 | 179 | 1746 | 1369 | 475 | 1087 |  |
| 9947 c 0.25ng | 830.5 | 82.5 | 1593 | 1786 | 452.5 | 1177 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 986.83 | 155.67 | 2062.33 | 1716.67 | 592.50 | 1338.00 | 1142.00 |
| Min. | 653.50 | 82.50 | 1593.00 | 1369.00 | 452.50 | 1087.00 |  |
| Max. | 1476.50 | 205.50 | 2848.00 | 1995.00 | 850.00 | 1750.00 |  |
| Range | 823.00 | 123.00 | 1255.00 | 626.00 | 397.50 | 663.00 |  |

Table 10. Continued.

| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | vWA | D8S1179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 9947a_0.5ng | 2023.5 | 347 | 3713 | 3749 | 1419 | 3407 |
| 9947b_0.5ng | 1645.5 | 229.5 | 3715 | 3260 | 1168.5 | 2491 |
| 9947c_0.5ng | 1704 | 213.5 | 3093 | 3013 | 1154.5 | 2093 |
| Mean | 1791.00 | 263.33 | 3507.00 | 3340.67 | 1247.33 | 2663.67 |
| Min. | 1645.50 | 213.50 | 3093.00 | 3013.00 | 1154.50 | 2093.00 |
| Max. | 2023.50 | 347.00 | 3715.00 | 3749.00 | 1419.00 | 3407.00 |
| Mange | 378.00 | 133.50 | 622.00 | 736.00 | 264.50 | 1314.00 |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9947a_Ing | 3656.5 | 598.5 | 7519 | 6030 | 2254 | 4618 |  |
| 9947 b _1ng | 3470.5 | 736.5 | 6841 | 5275 | 1963 | 4568 |  |
| 9947 _ 1ng | 3245.5 | 616 | 5655 | 5302 | 1634.5 | 4318 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 3457.50 | 650.33 | 6671.67 | 5535.67 | 1950.50 | 4501.33 | 3794.50 |
| Min. | 3245.50 | 598.50 | 5655.00 | 5275.00 | 1634.50 | 4318.00 |  |
| Max. | 3656.50 | 736.50 | 7519.00 | 6030.00 | 2254.00 | 4618.00 |  |
| Range | 411.00 | 138.00 | 1864.00 | 755.00 | 619.50 | 300.00 |  |


| Sample Info | D3S1358 | TH01 | D5S818 | D13S317 | VWA | D8S1179 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9947 a _2ng | 8584.5 | 1011.5 | 9645 | 9990 | 6089.5 | 7580 |  |
| 9947 b _2ng | 7237 | 1150 | 9804 | 10023 | 4946.5 | 7789 |  |
| 9947 c 2 Zng | 8151.5 | 1237 | 9433 | 9863 | 5697.5 | 7786 | Total av. value |
| Mean | 7991.00 | 1132.83 | 9627.33 | 9958.67 | 5577.83 | 7718.33 | 7001.00 |
| Min. | 7237.00 | 1011.50 | 9433.00 | 9863.00 | 4946.50 | 7580.00 |  |
| Max. | 8584.50 | 1237.00 | 9804.00 | 10023.00 | 6089.50 | 7789.00 |  |
| Range | 1347.50 | 225.50 | 371.00 | 160.00 | 1143.00 | 209.00 |  |

Table 11. BodePlex 3 sensitivity - percent of dye pull-up. Average of percent dye pull-up (and reverse dye pull-up) was calculated for four DNA template amounts, only when the peak heights of pull-up peaks exceeded 50 RFU. The range of peak heights (Av. peak height range) in each dye color is reported in RFU values.

| BodePlex 3 Color | BLUE | GREEN | YELLOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 ng <br> Av. peak height range <br> Blue pull-up <br> Green pull-up <br> Yellow pull-up <br> Red pull-up | 83 $3008$ <br> No Pull-up >50 RFU | 13693585 <br> No Pull-up >50 RFU | 453 $4110$ <br> No Pull-up >50 RFU |
| 0.5 ng <br> Av. peak height range <br> Blue pull-up <br> Green pull-up <br> Yellow pull-up <br> Red pull-up | 214 <br> 4954 <br> No Pull-up >50 RFU | $3013$ <br> 6483 <br> No Pull-up >50 RFU | $265 \quad 6159$ <br> No Pull-up >50 RFU |
| 1 ng <br> Av. peak height range <br> Blue pull-up <br> Green pull-up <br> Yellow pull-up <br> Red pull-up | 599 8045 <br>   <br> $12.65 \%$  | $\begin{aligned} & 5275 \\ & \\ & 46.52 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1635 & 7439 \\ 16.30 \% & \text { (reverse) } \end{array}$ |
| 2 ng <br> Av. peak height range <br> Blue pull-up <br> Green pull-up <br> Yellow pull-up <br> Red pull-up | $\begin{gathered} 10128585 \\ \\ 37.28 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8721 \quad 10023 \\ & 47.67 \% \end{aligned}$ | $4581 \quad 7789$ <br> 23.11\% (reverse) |

Table 12. BodePlex 3 sensitivity - heterozygote peak height balance. Percent of peak height balance was calculated for the different template amounts of 9947A (a) and 9948
(b) DNA, at heterozygote loci. Highlighted cells indicate \% balance values below $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$.
a. Percent peak height balance for 9947A samples.

| 을 㤟 E 合 | - <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\overline{ }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \stackrel{+}{5} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\underset{\$}{N}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947a_Ong | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947b_Ong | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947c_Ong | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947a_0.005ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947b_0.005ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947c_0.005ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947a_0.01ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947b_0.01ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 51 | 0 |  |
| 9947c_0.01ng | 0 | 58 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 53 | 106 | 50.00\% |
| 9947a_0.025ng | 173 | 66 | 38.15\% | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947b_0.025ng | 57 | 111 | 51.35\% | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9947c_0.025ing | 94 | 152 | 61.84\% | 0 | 0 |  | 64 | 0 |  |
| 9947a_0.05ng | 109 | 279 | 39.07\% | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 83 |  |
| 9947b_0.05ng | 253 | 440 | 57.50\% | 0 | 0 |  | 71 | 127 | 55.91\% |
| 9947c_0.05ng | 141 | 136 | 96.45\% | 54 | 0 |  | 117 | 89 | 76.07\% |
| 9947a_0.1ng | 304 | 317 | 95.90\% | 66 | 0 |  | 222 | 143 | 64.41\% |
| 9947b_0.1ng | 499 | 220 | 44.09\% | 0 | 68 |  | 232 | 184 | 79.31\% |
| 9947c_0.1ng | 144 | 281 | 51.25\% | 0 | 50 |  | 141 | 265 | 53.21\% |
| 9947a_0.25ng | 1497 | 1456 | 97.26\% | 220 | 191 | 86.82\% | 889 | 811 | 91.23\% |
| 9947b_0.25ng | 591 | 716 | 82.54\% | 126 | 232 | 54.31\% | 523 | 427 | 81.64\% |
| 9947c_0.25ng | 733 | 928 | 78.99\% | 114 | 51 | 44.74\% | 412 | 493 | 83.57\% |
| 9947a_0.5ng | 2204 | 1843 | 83.62\% | 306 | 388 | 78.87\% | 1686 | 1152 | 68.33\% |
| 9947b_0.5ng | 1699 | 1592 | 93.70\% | 279 | 180 | 64.52\% | 1152 | 1185 | 97.22\% |
| 9947c_0.5ng | 1271 | 2137 | 59.48\% | 236 | 191 | 80.93\% | 1206 | 1103 | 91.46\% |
| 9947a_ing | 3618 | 3695 | 97.92\% | 601 | 596 | 99.17\% | 2427 | 2081 | 85.74\% |
| 9947b_ing | 3665 | 3276 | 89.39\% | 744 | 729 | 97.98\% | 1980 | 1946 | 98.28\% |
| 9947c_1ng | 3014 | 3477 | 86.68\% | 661 | 571 | 86.38\% | 1683 | 1586 | 94.24\% |
| 9947a_2ng | 8780 | 8389 | 95.55\% | 1054 | 969 | 91.94\% | 6460 | 5719 | 88.53\% |
| 9947b_2ng | 7800 | 6674 | 85.56\% | 1182 | 1118 | 94.59\% | 5365 | 4528 | 84.40\% |
| 9947c_2ng | 8490 | 7813 | 92.03\% | 1316 | 1158 | 87.99\% | 5879 | 5516 | 93.83\% |

Table 12. Continued.
b. Percent peak height balance for 9948 samples.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{\mathbf{\delta}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mid} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \mathbf{\Sigma} \\ & \hline \mathbf{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N © © or |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9948a_Ong | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948b_Ong | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948c_Ong | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948a_0.005ng | 55 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 72 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948b_0.005ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 81 | 0 |  | 152 | 79 | 51.97\% |
| 9948c_0.005ng | 0 | 71 |  | 56 | 0 |  | 0 | 119 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948a_0.01ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948b_0.01ng | 80 | 201 | 39.80\% | 0 | 84 |  | 0 | 59 |  | 73 | 80 | 91.25\% |
| 9948 c _0.01ng | 98 | 151 | 64.90\% | 0 | 0 |  | 135 | 172 | 78.49\% | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948a_0.025ng | 293 | 374 | 78.34\% | 297 | 127 | 42.76\% | 291 | 432 | 67.36\% | 277 | 185 | 66.79\% |
| 9948b_0.025ng | 482 | 237 | 49.17\% | 148 | 93 | 62.84\% | 262 | 282 | 92.91\% | 128 | 99 | 77.34\% |
| 9948c_0.025ng | 340 | 458 | 74.24\% | 229 | 137 | 59.83\% | 315 | 313 | 99.37\% | 130 | 0 |  |
| 9948a_0.05ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 9948 b _0.05ng | 710 | 207 | 29.15\% | 261 | 84 | 32.18\% | 456 | 406 | 89.04\% | 397 | 175 | 44.08\% |
| 9948 c _0.05ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948a_0.1ng | 476 | 364 | 76.47\% | 227 | 235 | 96.60\% | 361 | 463 | 77.97\% | 196 | 266 | 73.68\% |
| 9948 b _0.1ng | 1284 | 1332 | 96.40\% | 627 | 241 | 38.44\% | 1147 | 799 | 69.66\% | 340 | 504 | 67.46\% |
| 9948 c _0.1ng | 726 | 876 | 82.88\% | 463 | 303 | 65.44\% | 913 | 1093 | 83.53\% | 402 | 619 | 64.94\% |
| 9948a_0.25ng | 2904 | 2863 | 98.59\% | 1443 | 998 | 69.16\% | 1950 | 2801 | 69.62\% | 2194 | 1611 | 73.43\% |
| 9948b_0.25ng | 2767 | 3249 | 85.16\% | 1425 | 676 | 47.44\% | 2313 | 2949 | 78.43\% | 2174 | 2133 | 98.11\% |
| 9948 c _0.25ng | 2683 | 2282 | 85.05\% | 1140 | 978 | 85.79\% | 2363 | 1837 | 77.74\% | 1148 | 1493 | 76.89\% |
| 9948a_0.5ng | 4790 | 5060 | 94.66\% | 1436 | 1572 | 91.35\% | 4021 | 4054 | 99.19\% | 2623 | 2582 | 98.44\% |
| 9948b_0.5ng | 4560 | 4851 | 94.00\% | 2422 | 1835 | 75.76\% | 3402 | 3858 | 88.18\% | 2451 | 2312 | 94.33\% |
| 9948 c _ 0.5 ng | 5266 | 4641 | 88.13\% | 1557 | 1992 | 78.16\% | 4786 | 3466 | 72.42\% | 2206 | 2227 | 99.06\% |
| 9948a_1ng | 7810 | 7981 | 97.86\% | 4118 | 3781 | 91.82\% | 7634 | 8118 | 94.04\% | 5197 | 4758 | 91.55\% |
| 9948b_1ng | 7905 | 8047 | 98.24\% | 4327 | 4646 | 93.13\% | 9426 | 9464 | 99.60\% | 6268 | 5368 | 85.64\% |
| 9948c_1ng | 7970 | 8120 | 98.15\% | 4017 | 3855 | 95.97\% | 8962 | 8818 | 98.39\% | 5434 | 4869 | 89.60\% |
| 9948a_2ng | 7686 | 8109 | 94.78\% | 6492 | 7814 | 83.08\% | 8798 | 9090 | 96.79\% | 7551 | 7600 | 99.36\% |
| 9948b_2ng | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9948c_2ng | 8247 | 8649 | 95.35\% | 7739 | 7625 | 98.53\% | 8666 | 8960 | 96.72\% | 7147 | 7324 | 97.58\% |

Table 13. Genotypes of 9947A and 9948 DNA.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { On } \\ & \text { On } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 둑 } \\ & \text { 홍 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Sigma}{k}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \frac{K}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9947A | 14 | 15 | 8 | 9.3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 13 |
| 9948 | 15 | 17 | 6 | 9.3 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 13 |

Table 14. Peak height values of alleles detected in mixture samples. Mixture samples were prepared in the indicated 9947A to 9948 ratios. Peak height values (in RFU) are reported for all peaks observed at a locus. Highlighted values denote the exclusive minor component allele in each mixture.

|  | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ <br> $\infty$ <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \sum_{0}^{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 훈 혼 | $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{\text { 오 }}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{N}{5}$ | $\frac{\underset{3}{\infty}}{\frac{1}{3}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100: 0 | 1002 | 717 |  |  | 236 | 212 | 1449 |  | 1390 | 699 | 556 |  | 1152 |
| 95: 5 | 858 | 911 | 62 |  | 96 |  | 1509 | 128 | 1083 | 579 | 421 | 92 | 969 |
| 90: 10 | 1260 | 906 | 224 | 51 | 78 | 147 | 2016 | 273 | 2257 | 1152 | 796 | 239 | 1701 |
| 80: 20 | 572 | 767 | 190 |  | 92 | 87 | 1704 | 320 | 1189 | 970 | 461 | 276 | 1257 |
| 50: 50 | 522 | 1205 | 604 | 123 | 95 | 322 | 2140 | 724 | 2078 | 1158 | 267 | 504 | 1093 |
| 20: 80 | 198 | 1321 | 979 | 207 |  | 292 | 1077 | 834 | 1498 | 1237 | 139 | 737 | 1010 |
| 10:90 | 157 | 918 | 844 | 154 |  | 237 | 1156 | 914 | 1389 | 1293 | 12 | 841 | 790 |
| 5:95 | 139 | 1002 | 962 | 187 |  | 250 | 770 | 977 | 1593 | 1361 |  | 722 | 704 |
| 0:100 |  | 1766 | 1823 | 309 |  | 383 | 1516 | 1221 | 2036 | 2106 |  | 1176 | 1168 |


| 9947 | 1151 | 1485 |  | 194 | 95 | 2012 | 1883 | 724 | 657 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9948 |  | 1789 | 1575 | 108 |  | 142 | 1170 | 1143 | 2466 | 1924 |
| Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1321 | 1531 |

Figure 1. BodePlex 3 allelic ladder.
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Figure 2. 9947A profile generated by BodePlex 3 multiplex. A 0.25 ng template of 9947A DNA was amplified with BodePlex 3 system.


## 

Figure 3. Profiles generated by 0.25 ng and 0.5 ng 9947A samples. Two different template amounts [ 0.25 ng (a) and 0.5 ng (b)] of 9947A were amplified with BodePlex 3.
a. Template amount: 0.25 ng .

b. Template amount: 0.5 ng .


Figure 4. BodePlex 3 sensitivity - average peak heights of 9947A and 9948 samples amplified with BodePlex 3.



Figure 5. BodePlex 3 sensitivity - Average heterozygote peak height balance for 9947A and 9948 samples amplified with BodePlex 3. Percent of the average heterozygote peak height balance was calculated for all samples at different template amounts.


Figure 6. Profile of the $50: 50$ m ixture s ample a mplified w ith B odePlex 3. The profile generated when a 50:50 mixture of 9947A to 9948 DNA was amplified with BodePlex 3 at a 0.25 ng template amount.
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[^0]:    RFU: relative fluorescence unit, OL: off-ladder allele

