Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dakshinamurthy Sivakumar, PharmCADD, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Ashwanth Christopher Francis, Florida State University, United States Irma Elisa Cisneros, University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE In-Woo Park inwoo.park@unthsc.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Clinical Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 23 November 2022 ACCEPTED 16 January 2023 PUBLISHED 08 March 2023

CITATION

Ghaly M, Proulx J, Borgmann K and Park I-W (2023) Novel role of HIV-1 Nef in regulating the ubiquitination of cellular proteins. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1106591. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1106591

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ghaly, Proulx, Borgmann and Park. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Novel role of HIV-1 Nef in regulating the ubiquitination of cellular proteins

Maria Ghaly, Jessica Proulx, Kathleen Borgmann and In-Woo Park*

Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Genetics, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, United States

Our recent data established that HIV-1 Nef is pivotal in determining the fate of cellular proteins by modulating ubiquitination. However, it is unknown which proteins are ubiquitinated in the presence of Nef, a question critical for understanding the proliferation/restriction strategies of HIV-1 in infected cells. To identify cellular proteins ubiquitinated by Nef, we conducted a proteomic analysis of cellular proteins in the presence and absence of Nef. Proteomic analysis in HEK293T cells indicated that 93 proteins were upregulated and 232 were downregulated in their ubiguitination status by Nef. Computational analysis classified these proteins based on molecular function, biological process, subcellular localization, and biological pathway. Of those proteins, we found a majority of molecular functions to be involved in binding and catalytic activity. With respect to biological processes, a significant portion of the proteins identified were related to cellular and metabolic processes. Subcellular localization analysis showed the bulk of proteins to be localized to the cytosol and cytosolic compartments, which is consistent with the known function and location of Nef during HIV-1 infection. As for biological pathways, the wide range of affected proteins was denoted by the multiple modes to fulfill function, as distinguished from a strictly singular means, which was not detected. Among these ubiquitinated proteins, six were found to directly interact with Nef, wherein two were upregulated and four downregulated. We also identified 14 proteins involved in protein stability through directly participating in the Ubiquitin Proteasome System (UPS)-mediated proteasomal degradation pathway. Of those proteins, we found six upregulated and eight downregulated. Taken together, these analyses indicate that HIV-1 Nef is integral to regulating the stability of various cellular proteins via modulating ubiquitination. The molecular mechanisms directing Nef-triggered regulation of cellular protein ubiquitination are currently under investigation.

KEYWORDS

HIV-1 Nef, post-translational modification, ubiquitinated proteins, ubiquitin, proteasomal degradation system

1 Introduction

It is reported that HIV-1 Nef alone is capable of inducing adult acquired immune disorder syndrome (AIDS)-like diseases in CD4C/ HIV(nef) transgenic mice (Hanna et al., 1998; Rahim et al., 2009). Long-term survivors with nonprogressive HIV-1 infection can carry crippled Nef (Deacon et al., 1995; Kirchhoff et al., 1995; Salvi et al., 1998), and destruction of the nef gene in SIV can preclude simian AIDS in macaque monkeys (Kestler et al., 1991). These findings indicate that Nef could be essential for efficient replication in vivo and induction of AIDS in both HIV-1- and SIV-infected adult hosts (Kestler et al., 1991; Deacon et al., 1995; Kirchhoff et al., 1995; Salvi et al., 1998). However, since Nef is generally known to be dispensable for in vitro replication of both HIV-1 and most SIVs (Gibbs et al., 1994; Park and Sodroski, 1995; Stoddart et al., 2003; Rainho et al., 2015), the cellular and molecular effects of Nef expression on HIV-1/ SIV replication, especially in CD4+ T cells, have been essentially immeasurable. Thus, it has been challenging to determine the role of Nef in virus-associated pathogenicity.

The function of HIV-1 Nef is multifarious, as the protein plays a critical role in: T cell activation for virus replication (Sleckman et al., 1992; Salghetti et al., 1995; Brown et al., 1999; Kim et al., 1999; Schibeci et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2000); membrane trafficking of molecules, such as CD4 (Guy et al., 1987; Garcia and Miller, 1991; Anderson et al., 1993; Mariani and Skowronski, 1993; Rhee and Marsh, 1994), major histocompatibility complex class (MHC I) (Schwartz et al., 1996; Le Gall et al., 1997), and others (Aiken et al., 1994; Schwartz et al., 1996) to facilitate HIV-1 infectivity and immune escape; stability of viral and cellular proteins via the ubiquitin proteasome system (Sugiyama et al., 2011; Kmiec et al., 2018; Pyeon et al., 2019; Ali et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020); chemotaxis (Swingler et al., 1999; Choe et al., 2002; Park and He, 2009; Stolp et al., 2009; Stolp et al., 2012; Park and He, 2013; Rossi et al., 2016; Lamas-Murua et al., 2018); and intercellular communications through exosomes (Lenassi et al., 2010; Narayanan et al., 2013; McNamara et al., 2018; Mukhamedova et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Dubrovsky et al., 2020) and conduits/filopodia (Xu et al., 2009; Nobile et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2013; Park et al., 2014). Molecular processes, such as signaling cascades, gene expression, etc., leading to these biological changes by HIV-1 Nef have been comprehensively investigated, as reviewed (Renkema and Saksela, 2000; Abraham and Fackler, 2012; Felli et al., 2017; Heusinger and Kirchhoff, 2017; Buffalo et al., 2019; Staudt et al., 2020).

In addition to the above-noted Nef functions, several recent reports suggest that Nef plays an integral role in the determination of HIV-1mediated pathogenicity by modulating stability of viral and cellular proteins essential for viral replication. Substitution of all the lysine residues in Nef with arginine abolished Nef ubiquitination and subsequently CD4 downregulation (Jin et al., 2008), indicating that Nef ubiquitination is important for modulating the surface expression of CD4. Degradation of Nef is mediated by interaction with c-Cbl, a host ubiquitin (Ub) E3 ligase (Zhang et al., 2020), while our previous reports show that UBE3A (E6-AP), another host Ub E3 ligase, determines stability of Nef (Pyeon et al., 2019). Nef can also promote proteasomal degradation of host cellular proteins, such as p53 tumor suppressor using UBE3A (Ali et al., 2020) and CXCR4 by recruiting the HECT domain E3 ligases, AIP4 or NEDD (Chandrasekaran et al., 2014). Moreover, Nef regulates the degradation of a key HIV-1 viral transcription activator protein, Tat. It is reported that Nef spurs decay of Tat for HIV-1 replication *via* the ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) (Sugiyama et al., 2011), indicating that Nef could function as a global regulator for viral gene expression by modulating the intracellular level of Tat. Taken together, these reports indicate that Nef plays a crucial role in coordinating the stability of viral and cellular proteins *via* the UPS in infected cells, which is essential for HIV-1 replication followed by HIV-1 pathogenesis.

We have demonstrated that HIV-1 Nef binds to ubiquitin protein ligase E3A (UBE3A) by the yeast two-hybrid system using Jurkat cDNA library followed by immunoprecipitation/Western blot and that UBE3A degraded not only Nef but also HIV-1 structural proteins, Gag, thus significantly inhibiting HIV-1 replication only in the presence of Nef in HIV-1 susceptible Jurkat T cells (Pyeon et al., 2019). We further found that Nef inhibited the level of ubiquitination of cellular proteins and that mutations at specific motifs in Nef significantly reduced the Nefmediated inhibitory effects on ubiquitination of cellular proteins (Pyeon et al., 2019). While it is evident that Nef regulates cellular protein ubiquitination, these investigations did not reveal the specific cellular proteins ubiquitinated by Nef. Therefore, we executed proteomic analysis to identify differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins in the presence of Nef, which brings insights into the pathogenic role of Nef in HIV-1 infected cells.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Cells, antibodies and plasmids

Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) complemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) growth supplement and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin in a humidified 37° C/5% CO2 incubator. HIV-1 Nefexpressing plasmid (pHN) tagged with a Myc.His epitope was generated by cloning the nef coding region of HXBc2 strain HIV-1 into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pCDNA3.1(-)-Myc.His (pC3) (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The native pC3 plasmid was used as a backbone control such that the total amount of the plasmid was the same for as pHN transfections. HA epitope-tagged ubiquitin-expressing plasmid (pUb-HA) was obtained through Addgene (Cambridge, MA, USA). Anti-Myc (9E10) and anti-HA (F-7) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Manufacturers and catalogue numbers of other antibodies that we employed for these experiments are; SMAD3 (ABclonal, A11388), E6-BP (Santa Cruz, sc-293069), PAXBP1 (Bethyl Laboratory, A303-166A), KEAP (Invitrogen, 1F10B6), ATIC (Invitrogen, PA5-827411), and β -Actin (Sigma-Aldrich, A5441).

2.2 Immunoprecipitation (IP) and western blot (WB) analysis

HEK 293T cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids through the calcium phosphate method. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were washed in ice-cold PBS twice prior to suspension in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM PMSF and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA)). Following 20 minutes of incubation on ice and 20 minutes of centrifugation at 20,000× g at 4°C, the cell lysate supernatant was collected and used for WB analysis as previously described (Park et al., 2013). IP was performed using anti–HA antibody to precipitate ubiquitinated proteins in the supernatants, and the precipitated proteins were separated on sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) by running approximately 1 cm. The proteins on SDS-PAGE were then stained with Coomassie Blue, and the proteins were extracted for proteomic analysis. For the WB analysis, the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Thermo-Fisher and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) from Bio-Rad, as described [57]. The IP and/or WB analyses in the figures are representative of multiple independent experiments.

2.3 Proteomic analysis

Following SDS-PAGE, the ubiquitinated proteins were extracted and analyzed by the combined high-affinity enrichment of ubiquitinated peptides and high-resolution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (10.1016/j.jprot.2021.104261; 10.3389/ fpls.2018.01064). The fold-changes in ubiquitination of the differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins were calculated using ratios comparing ubiquitination in the presence and absence of Nef. Cellular proteins with a fold-change in ubiquitination greater than two were considered significantly differentially ubiquitinated and included in subsequent analyses. Analysis and classification of these cellular proteins by function, biological process, cellular component, pathway, and protein class were achieved using the Protein ANalysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER) Classification system (16.0) (http://www.pantherdb.org) (Mi et al., 2021). The STRING Database (STRING-DB) was used to conduct protein-protein interaction network and functional enrichment analysis of the identified cellular proteins (https://string-db.org/) (Szklarczyk et al., 2019). The magnitudes of identified enrichment effects were represented by strength scores, log10 (observed number of proteins in the given network with a particular characteristic/expected number of proteins in a random network of the same size with the given characteristic). Significance of enrichment was assessed using false discovery rate p-values, which were corrected for multiple testing within each characteristic category using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Additional protein interaction data was collected using the National Institutes of Health (NIH) GENE database (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene) (Brown et al., 2015) and the WikiPathways database (https://www.wikipathways.org/), which was specifically used to collect data on protein interactions with the ubiquitin-proteasome (UPS)-mediated proteasomal degradation pathway (Slenter et al., 2018). Tableau Public (version 2020.3) (http://www.tableau.com) was used to create visualizations presented in the figures.

3 Results

3.1 Nef reduces overall ubiquitination of cellular proteins

To investigate the effect of HIV-1 Nef on the ubiquitination status of cellular proteins in host cells, we co-transfected pUb-HA and the

pHN-Myc.His plasmids into HEK 293T cells. An isotype plasmid lacking Nef (pCDNA3.1(–)-Myc.His), was also included as a backbone control instead of pHN-Myc.His. The subsequent WB analysis of cell lysates using anti-HA antibodies to detect ubiquitinated cellular proteins showed that the relative intensity of ubiquitinated cellular protein bands was lower in cells expressing HIV-1 Nef compared with the backbone control. The relative band intensities were 21.7 to 100, respectively, when normalized to β -Actin as a protein loaded control (Figure 1). Further, deletion of the diarginine (Di-R) motif of Nef expunged Nef-mediated inhibition of ubiquitination of cellular proteins (Pyeon et al., 2019). These data indicated that overall HIV-1 Nef inhibited the ubiquitination of cellular proteins.

3.2 The presence of Nef results in upregulation of ubiquitination in 93 cellular proteins and downregulation in 232

Following WB analysis, proteomic analysis identified differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins in the presence of Nef. The data showed statistical significance in 325 cellular proteins that differentially ubiquitinated in the presence of Nef. Among them, ubiquitination of 93 cellular proteins was significantly upregulated, while that of 232 proteins was down-regulated, as shown in Figure 2A. Fold changes in ubiquitination of these proteins ranged from a 35.88fold increase to a 35.13-fold decrease. The 20 cellular proteins with



FIGURE 1

Effect of HIV-1 Nef on overall ubiquitination of cellular proteins. The indicated plasmids, pUb-HA and pHN-Myc.His (pHN) or pCDNA3.1 (–)-Myc.His (pC3), were transfected into 293T cells, and 3 days post-transfection, WB analysis was executed with the cell lysates generated from the transfected cells to detect the indicated proteins. The relative intensity of the ubiquitinated cellular proteins (Ub-Proteins) in the presence (47.6) compared with the absence of Nef (100) was determined based on normalization of β -Actin, using Bio-Rad image analysis tool.

the greatest fold increases and 20 proteins with the greatest fold decreases in ubiquitination are highlighted in Figure 2B.

To validate these ubiquitination results, several proteins involved in crucial cellular processes were analyzed by western blot to compare Nef induced changes in total protein expression to their relative changes in ubiquitination. HEK293T cells were transfected with three different dosages (0 µg, 1 µg, and 3 µg) of pHN, the HIV-1 Nef expression plasmid (Figure 2C). We first evaluated KEAP1 (the E3 ligase adaptor Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1), critical in the maintenance of redox, metabolic and protein homeostasis, and the regulation of inflammation (Cuadrado et al., 2019; Dayalan Naidu and Dinkova-Kostova, 2020). Expression of KEAP1 was basically unchanged by Nef, while ubiquitination of KEAP1 was increased 10-fold in the presence of Nef (Figures 2B, C). The protein expression of PAXBP1, a transcription regulator (Zhang et al., 2000), was reduced marginally as Nef expression increased. Yet, HIV-1 Nef-associated ubiquitination of PAXBP1 increased 36-fold (Figures 2B, C). Expression of SMAD3, which is involved in TGF- β cytokine signal transduction and regulation of cell division, differentiation, and apoptosis (Massague, 1998) was unchanged by Nef expression, but ubiquitination of the protein decreased three-fold in the presence of Nef. The level of ATIC (5-Aminoimidazole-4carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP cyclohydrolase catalyzing enzyme in the de novo purine biosynthetic pathway (Sugita et al., 1997)), which is known to facilitate cell growth and migration by upregulating Myc expression in lung adenocarcinoma (Niu et al., 2022), was slightly increased, as Nef expression was increased (Figure 2C). In contrast, ubiquitination of the protein was dramatically reduced by 35fold in the presence of Nef (Figure 2B). Lastly, E6-BP, a calcium-binding protein relevant to the carcinogenic potential of HPV E6 (Chen et al., 1995; Lichtig et al., 2006; Vazquez-Ortiz et al., 2007), had marginally decreased in expression as Nef expression increased, yet ubiquitination in the presence of Nef decreased 30-fold. Together, these representative proteins (Figure 2C) demonstrate that changes observed in ubiquitination levels were indeed associated with differential ubiquitination of individual proteins, rather than Nef induced changes in their overall expression levels. Further, these profound fold changes in ubiquitination of numerous cellular proteins with diverse cellular/ molecular functions shown below suggest that Nef plays a pivotal role in the regulation of ubiquitination of cellular proteins and thereby cellular protein stability and decay through the UPS in HIV-1-infected cells to establish productive infection.

3.3 Several differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins in the presence of Nef interact directly with Nef and the UPS

To establish how Nef regulates ubiquitination, we searched the NIH GENE database to determine if Nef is known to physically interact with any of the 325 differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins. Our analysis indicated that Nef directly forms complexes with 23 cellular proteins with ubiquitination fold changes ranging from a 4.68-fold increase to a 6.8-



FIGURE 2

HIV-1 Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of individual cellular proteins. (A) Heatmap showing Nef-mediated ubiquitination fold change of cellular proteins. The identified 325 cellular proteins that are differentially ubiquitinated in the presence of Nef with statistical significance are labeled along the X-axis, while increases and decreases of ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence (UN) and absence (UC) of Nef, respectively, are depicted on the Y-axis; that is, increases in the presence of Nef (UN/UC) are shown by a green line, whereas decreases in ubiquitination in the presence of Nef are indicated by a red line in the UC/UN. Color intensities of each line correspond to fold changes in ubiquitination of Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence of Nef. (C) Validation of Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence of Nef. (C) Validation of Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence of Nef. (C) Validation of Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence of Nef. (C) Validation of Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence of Nef. (C) Validation of Nef-mediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins in the presence of Nef AcAP1 and PAXBP1) or decreased (SMAD3, ATIC, and E3-BP), indicating that changes in ubiquitination of these proteins were not due to the expression of each protein. Parentheses represent aliases of the indicated genes in part label (B).

fold decrease (Figure 3A), demonstrating that Nef may play an important role in modulating stability of cellular proteins by direct interactions with the indicated cellular proteins within HIV-1-infected host cells. Understanding the implications of direct and indirect relationships between Nef and host proteins may provide further insight into the roles of Nef in HIV-1-host interactions. Since we hypothesize that Nef regulates ubiquitination and cellular protein stability through the UPS, the WikiPathways database was used to determine whether any of the 325 cellular proteins were functionally associated with the UPS. We found that 15 of the proteins directly interact with the UPS, with ubiquitination fold changes ranging from a 10.12-fold increase to a 5.94-fold decrease (Figure 3B). These findings further support our conclusion that Nef is a critical regulator of cellular protein stability by modulating the level of ubiquitination of the UPS degradation pathway *via* direct and indirect associations with the cellular proteins.

3.4 Differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins significantly localize to cellular compartment and tissues where Nef expression has been observed and have key cellular functions, including regulation of gene expression, the cell cycle, and cell migration

To characterize the 325 cellular proteins differentially ubiquitinated by Nef, the PANTHER classification system was employed to categorize the proteins by molecular function, biological process, cellular localization, pathway, and protein class. The classifications of cellular proteins were highly similar regardless of whether ubiquitination was up- or down-regulated by Nef (Figures 4A–C). In terms of molecular functions, the proteins primarily played binding or catalytic roles (Figure 4A). More specifically, most of the identified binding proteins play roles in protein, heterocyclic compound, and organic cyclic compound binding, while the catalytic proteins act on other proteins or function as hydrolases or transferases. A majority of the ubiquitinated proteins also function in cellular and metabolic processes (Figure 4B), especially in cellular component organization, biogenesis, and metabolism of macromolecules, aromatic compounds, or nitrogen compounds. Classification of the cellular localizations of the ubiquitinated proteins indicated that over 80% localize to cellular anatomical entities, particularly the cytoplasm, cellular membrane, and organelles, or to intracellular spaces, as well as particularly catalytic or ribonucleoprotein complexes (Figure 4C). These localization patterns are consistent with the previously established localization patterns of Nef during HIV-1 infection (Trible et al., 2006; Atkins et al., 2008).

Pathway and protein class classifications exhibited more differences between proteins with upregulated and downregulated ubiquitination. The proteins, whether up- or down-regulated in ubiquitination, represent involvement in a wide variety of pathways. The most common pathways of proteins with upregulated ubiquitination were chemokine and cytokine signaling pathways (7%), which mediate inflammation, and the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor pathway (7%) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling pathway (7%), which both regulate cell division, differentiation, proliferation, and death (Oda et al., 2005; Teven et al., 2014) (Figure 4D). For proteins downregulated in ubiquitination, most were UPS pathway proteins (8%), consistent with earlier conclusions that Nef may regulate protein stability through mechanisms involving this pathway. The apoptosis signaling pathway and DNA replication pathways were among the subsequent most common pathways observed (5% each, respectively). Lastly, over half of proteins with upregulated ubiquitination were classified as metabolite interconversion enzymes (30%), protein modifying enzymes (16%), or translational proteins (14%) (Figure 4E). Sizable proportions of proteins with



FIGURE 3

Nef-mediated changes in ubiquitination of cellular proteins that directly interact with Nef or the UPS. (A) Nef-mediated ubiquitination fold change in proteins that directly interact with Nef. Twenty-three of the 325 cellular proteins identified in Figure 2A directly interact with Nef, according to the data collected from the NIH GENE database (https://www.ncbi.nim.nih.gov/gene). The fold changes in ubiquitination in the presence of Nef range from 6.8-fold decrease to a 4.68-fold increase, with greater color intensity corresponding to larger fold changes. The fold changes associated with cellular proteins for which Nef up-regulated ubiquitination are depicted in green, while those with down-regulated ubiquitination are in red. (B) Nef-mediated ubiquitination fold changes in proteins that directly interact with the UPS. Fifteen of the 325 cellular proteins identified in Figure 2A directly interact with UPS, according to the data collected from the WikiPathways database (https://www.wikipathways.org/). The fold changes in ubiquitination range from a 5.94-fold decrease to a 10.12-fold increase. The same color coding was used in both panels (A, B).



downregulated ubiquitination were categorized as nucleic acid metabolism proteins (24%), metabolite interconversion enzymes (21%), translational proteins (13%), or protein modifying enzymes (12%), showing some overlapping patterns with those proteins which were upregulated in their ubiquitination status.

Further details regarding classification and associated statistical significances of the differentially ubiquitinated proteins were elucidated through functional enrichment analysis using STRING-DB. Of the 93 proteins with significantly increased ubiquitination in the presence of Nef, 53 could be located within the database. Analysis of proteins with upregulated ubiquitination in the presence of Nef showed significant functional enrichment in 13 cellular components and 16 tissues. No significant pathway enrichments were observed in the biological process, molecular function, Reactome pathway, or disease-gene association (Table 1). Cellular component classification confirmed the patterns identified through PANTHER analysis, with significant enrichment of cytoplasm (strength=0.17, p=0.0042), intracellular organelle lumen (strength=0.28, p=0.0150, intracellular membrane-bounded organelle (strength=0.16, p=0.0256), intracellular organelle (strength=0.14, p=0.0150), membranebounded organelle (strength=0.14, p=0.0200), organelle (strength=0.14, p=0.0042), intracellular localization (strength=0.13, p=0.0020), and protein-containing complexes (strength=0.34, p=0.0020) (Table 1). However, the analysis revealed that the strongest significant enrichment effects were associated with protein localization to actin caps (strength=2.27, p=0.0181), lamellipodia (strength=0.96, p=0.0268) (Table 1), and focal adhesions (strength= 0.80, p=0.0181), suggesting that differential ubiquitination of the proteins in the presence of Nef may indirectly impact cell migration, which is a process that these three cellular components play key roles in (Ridley et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2014; Innocenti, 2018). Regarding tissue expression, the most significant enrichment was

identified in lung (strength= 0.65, p=0.0018), respiratory system (strength=0.59, p=0.0020), skin (strength=0.58, p=0.0177), and alimentary canal (strength=0.47, p=0.0176) tissues (Table 1), all of which are tissues in which Nef has been detected in subjects with HIV-1 (Marecki et al., 2006; Quaranta et al., 2011).

Of the 232 proteins with significantly decreased ubiquitination in the presence of Nef, 140 were located within STRING-DB. Functional enrichment analysis of these proteins identified significant functional enrichment in 35 cellular components, 48 tissues, 97 biological processes, 26 molecular functions, and 24 Reactome pathways. No significant enrichment was observed in disease-gene associations (Table 2). Among the cellular component localizations with the strongest enrichment were cargo-selective retromers (strength=1.84, p=0.0339) and tubular endosomes (strength=1.75, p=0.0437), which play key roles in intracellular trafficking (Harbour et al., 2010), a cellular process that Nef is known to interfere with (Pereira and daSilva, 2016) (Table 2). For tissue expression, significant enrichment was also observed in B-cell lymphoma cells (strength=0.84, p=0.0035), lymphoblasts (strength=0.82, p=8.21e-05), lymphoma cells (strength=0.74, p=0.0052), colonic cancer cells (0.00079), and brain cells (strength=0.76, p=0.0266). These enrichments also align with prior studies demonstrating that Nef plays roles in lymphoma and colon cancer development (Bumpers et al., 2005) and is highly expressed in astrocyte brain cells (Sami Saribas et al., 2017) (Table 2). Regarding Reactome pathways, significant enrichment of proteins involved in DNA synthesis and repair, specifically lagging strand synthesis (strength=1.32, p=0.0451) and PCNA-dependent long patch base excision repair (strength=1.3, p=0.0494), was identified (Table 2).

Finally, analysis of the proteins' biological processes and functions corroborated and expanded upon patterns observed in the PANTHER analysis, with binding, metabolic, and translationrelated activities being highly enriched. Specifically, the analysis detected strong enrichment effects for molecular functions including RNA helicase activity (strength=1.05, p=0.0038), ribonucleoprotein complex binding (strength=0.98, p=0.00032), RNA-binding translation factor activity (strength=0.93, p=0.0451), nucleic acid-binding translation regulator activity (strength=0.92, p=0.0179), and RNA binding (strength=0.65, p=5.46e-18). The

strongest enrichment effects for biological processes were observed in tRNA N2-guanine methylation (strength=2.15, p=0.342), hexitol metabolism (strength=1.97, p=0.0496), mature ribosome assembly (strength=1.84, p=0.0053), and regulation of mRNA binding (strength=1.75, p=0.00069) (Table 2).

Protein-protein interaction network analysis using STRING-DB was conducted to further analyze the differentially ubiquitinated

TABLE 1 String-DB (https://string-db.org/) functional enrichment analysis of cellular proteins with increased ubiquitination in the presence of Nef identified significant enrichment in 13 cellular components and 16 tissues, based on the 53 out of 93 proteins identified in the database.

Cellular Component (Gene Ontology)					
description	count in network	strength	false discovery rate		
Actin cap	2 of 4	2.27	0.0181		
Lamellipodium	5 of 202	0.96	0.0268		
Focal adhesion	7 of 405	0.8	0.0181		
Protein-containing complex	30 of 5073	0.34	0.0020		
Cytosol	28 of 5193	0.3	0.0150		
Intracellular organelle lumen	30 of 5857	0.28	0.0150		
Nucleus	33 of 7390	0.22	0.0281		
Cytoplasm	46 of 11428	0.17	0.0042		
Intracellular membrane-bounded organelle	42 of 10761	0.16	0.0256		
Organelle	50 of 13515	0.14	0.0042		
Intracellular organelle	47 of 12528	0.14	0.0150		
Membrane-bounded organelle	46 of 12427	0.14	0.0200		
Intracellular	52 of 14276	0.13	0.0020		
Tissue expression (TISSUES)					
description	count in network	strength	false discovery rate		
Lung	14 of 1162	0.65	0.0018		
Respiratory system	15 of 1436	0.59	0.0020		
Skin	11 of 1069	0.58	0.0177		
Alimentary canal	15 of 1865	0.47	0.0176		
Internal female genital organ	20 of 2593	0.45	0.0027		
Integument	15 of 1970	0.45	0.0232		
Digestive gland	19 of 2645	0.42	0.0100		
Organism form	16 of 2239	0.42	0.0257		
Embryonic structure	15 of 2132	0.41	0.0427		
Viscus	32 of 5020	0.37	0.00020		
Endocrine gland	33 of 6036	0.3	0.0018		
Reproductive system	31 of 6107	0.27	0.0100		
Nervous system	28 of 5707	0.26	0.0314		
Urogenital system	32 of 6716	0.25	0.0176		
Female reproductive system	28 of 5799	0.25	0.0395		
Animal	51 of 14895	0.1	0.0173		

The "count in network" column shows how many of the proteins in the 53-protein network are associated with a given term, out of the total expected proteins across the entire genome associated with the term. Strength quantifies the enrichment effect size and is calculated using the formula: log10(observed/expected), where "observed" is the number of proteins in the network associated with a given term and "expected" is the number of proteins expected to be associated with a given term in a random network of the same size. The false discovery rate is the p-value representing the enrichment's significance and is corrected for multiple testing through the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

proteins. Analysis of proteins with upregulated ubiquitination showed 8 significant predicted functional associations within the set of 53 proteins present in the database; however, those interactions were not statistically significantly greater than would be expected in a random set of proteins with the same size and degree distribution (proteinprotein interaction (PPI) enrichment value=0.516; high confidence (0.7) minimum required interaction score) (Figure 5A). This finding is indicative of little to no significant biological relationship between the 53 proteins, but it is important to note that availability of data on several of the proteins' interactions within the database is a limitation

TABLE 2 String-DB (https://string-db.org/) functional enrichment analysis of cellular proteins with decreased ubiquitination in the presence of Nef identified significant enrichment in 35 cellular components, 48 tissues, 97 biological processes, 26 molecular functions, and 24 Reactome pathways, based on the 140 out of 232 proteins identified in the database.

Cellular Component (Gene Ontology)				
description	count in network	strength	false discovery rate	
Retromer, cargo-selective complex	2 of 4	1.84	0.0339	
Tubular endosome	2 of 5	1.75	0.0437	
DNA replication factor C complex	2 of 5	1.75	0.0437	
Eukaryotic 48s preinitiation complex	3 of 15	1.45	0.0165	
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 complex	3 of 15	1.45	0.0165	
Eukaryotic 43s preinitiation complex	3 of 17	1.39	0.0194	
Vesicle coat	5 of 53	1.12	0.0040	
Catalytic step 2 spliceosome	7 of 87	1.05	0.00041	
Spliceosomal complex	10 of 192	0.86	0.00018	
Condensed chromosome kinetochore	5 of 106	0.82	0.0467	
Kinetochore	6 of 134	0.8	0.0248	
Condensed chromosome	7 of 216	0.66	0.0441	
Ribonucleoprotein complex	21 of 677	0.64	2.59e-06	
Spindle	10 of 353	0.6	0.0165	
Nuclear speck	11 of 399	0.59	0.0116	
Tissue expression (TISSUES)				
description	count in network	strength	false discovery rate	
B-cell lymphoma cell	7 of 140	0.84	0.0035	
Lymphoblast	11 of 235	0.82	8.21e-05	
Brain cell line				
	6 of 145	0.76	0.0266	
Colonic cancer cell	6 of 145 10 of 249	0.76 0.75	0.0266	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203	0.76 0.75 0.74	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland Blood cancer cell	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645 32 of 1098	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62 0.61	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28 1.17e-09	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland Blood cancer cell Lung	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645 32 of 1098 31 of 1162	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62 0.61 0.57	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28 1.17e-09 2.14e-08	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland Blood cancer cell Lung Leukemia cell	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645 32 of 1098 31 of 1162 25 of 949	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62 0.61 0.57 0.57	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28 1.17e-09 2.14e-08 1.62e-06	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland Blood cancer cell Lung Leukemia cell Lymphocyte	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645 32 of 1098 31 of 1162 25 of 949 16 of 648	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62 0.61 0.57 0.57 0.54	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28 1.17e-09 2.14e-08 1.62e-06 0.00088	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland Blood cancer cell Lung Leukemia cell Lymphocyte Skin	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645 32 of 1098 31 of 1162 25 of 949 16 of 648 26 of 1069	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62 0.61 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.54 0.53	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28 1.17e-09 2.14e-08 1.62e-06 0.00088 3.73e-06	
Colonic cancer cell Lymphoma cell Fibroblast Liver Digestive gland Blood cancer cell Lung Leukemia cell Lymphocyte Skin Embryo	6 of 145 10 of 249 8 of 203 7 of 213 60 of 1882 78 of 2645 32 of 1098 31 of 1162 25 of 949 16 of 648 26 of 1069 17 of 708	0.76 0.75 0.74 0.66 0.65 0.62 0.61 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.54 0.53 0.53	0.0266 0.00079 0.0052 0.0355 5.65e-22 3.53e-28 1.17e-09 2.14e-08 1.62e-06 0.00088 3.73e-06 0.00077	

The strongest 15 enrichments for each category are displayed in the table. The "count in network" column shows how many of the proteins in the 53-protein network are associated with a given term, out of the total expected proteins across the entire genome associated with the term. Strength quantifies the enrichment effect size and is calculated using the formula: log10(observed/expected), where "observed" is the number of proteins in the network associated with a given term and "expected" is the number of proteins expected to be associated with a given term in a random network of the same size. The false discovery rate is the p-value representing the enrichment's significance and is corrected for multiple testing through the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

that may at least partially explain this outcome. Network analysis of the proteins with downregulated ubiquitination identified 166 statistically significant predicted functional associations between proteins within the 140-protein network (PPI enrichment value < 1.0e-16; 0.7 minimum required interaction score) (Figure 5B), suggesting a biological connection between the proteins. The average node degree was 2.37, meaning that each of the proteins has an average of 2.37 interactions within the network (0.7 minimum required interaction score). Finally, when all 193 differentially ubiquitinated proteins found in the database were analyzed together, 247 predicted functional associations were found, with an average node degree of 2.56 and a significant PPI enrichment p-value < 1.0e-16 (0.7 minimum required interaction score) (Figure 5C). This demonstrates that proteins that are up- and down-regulated in ubiquitination interact and that the proteins that are differentially ubiquitinated in the presence of Nef share biological characteristics and connections, rather than being a random grouping of proteins.

Overall, our PANTHER classification analysis showed that most of the differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins are involved in binding and catalytic activities, participate in metabolic processes, and localize to cellular regions where Nef is usually observed. Additionally, several fall into protein classes or are involved in pathways associated with the UPS, gene regulation, cellular division, proliferation, and death. The STRING-DB enrichment analyses expanded on these results, demonstrating that cellular proteins with up-regulated ubiquitination in the presence of Nef significantly localize to the cytoplasm, organelle, and cell migration-





Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

FIGURE 5 (Continued)

STRING-DB (https://string-db.org/) protein-protein interaction network analysis of the proteins that are differentially ubiquitinated in the presence of Nef. For all three analyses, a high confidence minimum required interaction score (0.7) was specified, only query protein nodes were displayed, and disconnected nodes were hidden. Network edges represent predicted protein-protein functional associations and are color-coded by evidence type, as outlined in the associated legends. The whole genome was used as the background for the analysis. For parts (**B**, **C**), networks were shown in their entirety, then segmented into the components labeled with Roman numerals to increase legibility of individual protein names. (**A**) Network analysis of proteins with significantly upregulated ubiquitination. 53 of the 93 proteins with significantly upregulated ubiquitination were identified in the database. The final network contained 53 nodes and 8 edges, with 8 expected edges and a nonsignificant PPI enrichment p-value of 0.516. (**B**) Network malysis of proteins with significantly downregulated ubiquitination were identified in the database. The final network contained 140 nodes and 166 edges, with 74 expected edges and a significant PPI enrichment p-value < 1.0e-16. The network was segmented into four zoomed-in components labeled with Roman numerals (I-IV) so that individual protein names could be legible. (**C**) Network analysis of all proteins with differentially ubiquitination in the presence of Nef. The final overall network contained 147 edges, with 131 expected edges and a significant PPI enrichment p-value < 1.0e-16. The network was segmented into bale < 1.0e-16. Node halos were colored along a gradient based on relative fold change in ubiquitination. Dark red represents the greatest fold decrease in ubiquitination and dark blue the greatest fold increase. The network was segmented into three zoomed-in components, labeled (I-III) so that individual protein names could be legible.

associated structures within cells, with especially enriched presence in respiratory and epithelial cells. Cellular proteins displaying downregulated ubiquitination in the presence of Nef displayed strong localization to intracellular trafficking-related cellular structures and lymphoma tissues, with highly enriched activity in transcription regulation, metabolism, and RNA, nucleic acid, and ribonucleoprotein binding. Lastly, network analysis indicated that, in addition to sharing similar characteristics such as localization and function, many cellular proteins that are differentially ubiquitinated in the presence of Nef significantly interact with one another and are at least partially biologically connected. Taken together, these findings suggest that Nefmediated differential ubiquitination of cellular proteins may have significant implications for the regulation of cellular protein stability, thereby indirectly influencing key cellular processes, such as metabolism and gene and cell cycle regulation.

4 Discussion

Consistent with our previous data [28], HIV-1 Nef clearly decreases the overall ubiquitination level of cellular proteins in Nefexpressing cells. In fact, Nef directly associates with numerous cellular proteins whose ubiquitination status was modulated by Nef expression (Figure 3A), and many of these proteins are involved in proteasomal degradation processes (Figure 3B). Further, differentially ubiquitinated cellular proteins regulated by Nef were involved in metabolic processes and in protein binding with catalytic activities. The protein localization was to specific subcellular compartments, such as cytoplasm, cell membrane, and so forth, where Nef is usually detected. These associations help to highlight the fidelity and significance of our proteomic ubiquitination analysis. Prior study has shown that, in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132, Nef-mediated effects on proteasomal degradation activity were negated, suggesting that Nef plays a crucial role in regulating cellular protein stability through this pathway (Pyeon et al., 2019). Taken together, our extensive analyses indicate that HIV-1 Nef is vital to the regulation of cellular protein stability via differential ubiquitination of proteins, and is thus at the foundation of Nefassociated pathogenicity in HIV-1-infected cells.

As discussed above, the functions of HIV-1 Nef are multifarious. Here, we highlight how Nef-associated changes in protein ubiquitination regulate many aspects of cellular and molecular function among many biological processes. Nef-mediated changes in the stability, availability and function of proteins can act in various cellular pathways affecting transcription, DNA replication, inflammation, cell division, differentiation, proliferation, and death. Although our findings contribute significantly to the understanding of Nef's function, the intimate relevance of our in vitro Nef findings with respect to in vivo HIV-1 replication and its pathogenicity necessitates further study. Additionally, molecular mechanisms of Nef-triggered differential modulation of the ubiquitination status of these cellular proteins and the significance of regulating individual cellular proteins and pathways with respect to the reciprocal proliferation/restriction of HIV-1 infection will require further investigations to more fully understand the pathogenic role of Nef in HIV-1-infected cells.

Data availability statement

The data supporting this study's findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions

Conceptualization and original draft preparation, I-WP; data acquisition, MG and I-WP; writing—review and editing, JP, MG, KB, and I-WP; supervision, KB and I-WP; funding acquisition, JP, MG, KB, and I-WP. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The research was supported by departmental resources to I-WP and KB. JP was supported in part by an F31 DA053151 fellowship from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. MG was supported by NIDA award R25DA0043225 to Harlan Jones.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Abraham, L., and Fackler, O. T. (2012). HIV-1 nef: A multifaceted modulator of T cell receptor signaling. *Cell Commun. Signal* 10, 39. doi: 10.1186/1478-811X-10-39

Aiken, C., Konner, J., Landau, N. R., Lenburg, M. E., and Trono, D. (1994). Nef induces CD4 endocytosis: Requirement for a critical dileucine motif in the membrane-proximal CD4 cytoplasmic domain. *Cell* 76, 853–864. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90360-3

Ali, A., Farooqui, S. R., Rai, J., Singh, J., Kumar, V., Mishra, R., et al. (2020). HIV-1 nef promotes ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of p53 tumor suppressor protein by using E6AP. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 529, 1038–1044. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.05.188

Anderson, S., Shugars, D. C., Swanstrom, R., and Garcia, J. V. (1993). Nef from primary isolates of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 suppresses surface CD4 expression in human and mouse T cells. *J. Virol.* 67, 4923–4931. doi: 10.1128/jvi.67.8.4923-4931.1993

Atkins, K. M., Thomas, L., Youker, R. T., Harriff, M. J., Pissani, F., You, H., et al. (2008). HIV-1 nef binds PACS-2 to assemble a multikinase cascade that triggers major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-1) down-regulation: analysis using short interfering RNA and knock-out mice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 11772–11784. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.M707572200

Brown, G. R., Hem, V., Katz, K. S., Ovetsky, M., Wallin, C., Ermolaeva, O., et al. (2015). Gene: A gene-centered information resource at NCBI. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D36–D42. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1055

Brown, A., Wang, X., Sawai, E., and Cheng-Mayer, C. (1999). Activation of the PAKrelated kinase by human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef in primary human peripheral blood lymphocytes and macrophages leads to phosphorylation of a PIX-p95 complex. *J. Virol.* 73, 9899–9907. doi: 10.1128/JVI.73.12.9899-9907.1999

Buffalo, C. Z., Iwamoto, Y., Hurley, J. H., and Ren, X. (2019). How HIV nef proteins hijack membrane traffic to promote infection. *J. Virol.* 93, e01322-19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01322-19

Bumpers, H. L., Huang, M. B., Powell, M., Grizzle, W. E., Lillard, J. W.Jr., Okoli, J., et al. (2005). Effects of HIV-1 nef, a cytotoxic viral protein, on the growth of primary colorectal cancer. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 4, 65–69. doi: 10.4161/cbt.4.1.1377

Chandrasekaran, P., Moore, V., Buckley, M., Spurrier, J., Kehrl, J. H., and Venkatesan, S. (2014). HIV-1 nef down-modulates c-c and c-X-C chemokine receptors *via* ubiquitin and ubiquitin-independent mechanism. *PloS One* 9, e86998. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086998

Chen, L., Feng, Z., Yuan, G., Emerson, C. C., Stewart, P. L., Ye, F., et al. (2020). Human immunodeficiency virus-associated exosomes promote kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection *via* the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J. Virol.* 94, e01782–19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01782-19

Chen, J. J., Reid, C. E., Band, V., and Androphy, E. J. (1995). Interaction of papillomavirus E6 oncoproteins with a putative calcium-binding protein. *Science* 269, 529–531. doi: 10.1126/science.7624774

Choe, E. Y., Schoenberger, E. S., Groopman, J. E., and Park, I. W. (2002). HIV Nef inhibits T cell migration. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 46079-46084. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M204698200

Cuadrado, A., Rojo, A. I., Wells, G., Hayes, J. D., Cousin, S. P., Rumsey, W. L., et al. (2019). Therapeutic targeting of the NRF2 and KEAP1 partnership in chronic diseases. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* 18, 295–317. doi: 10.1038/s41573-018-0008-x

Dayalan Naidu, S., and Dinkova-Kostova, A. T. (2020). KEAP1, a cysteine-based sensor and a drug target for the prevention and treatment of chronic disease. *Open Biol.* 10, 200105. doi: 10.1098/rsob.200105

Deacon, N. J., Tsykin, A., Solomon, A., Smith, K., Ludford-Menting, M., Hooker, D. J., et al. (1995). Genomic structure of an attenuated quasi species of HIV-1 from a blood transfusion donor and recipients. *Science* 270, 988–991. doi: 10.1126/science.270.5238.988

Dubrovsky, L., Ward, A., Choi, S. H., Pushkarsky, T., Brichacek, B., Vanpouille, C., et al. (2020). Inhibition of HIV replication by apolipoprotein a-1 binding protein targeting the lipid rafts. *mBio* 11, e02956–19. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02956-19

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1106591/ full#supplementary-material

Felli, C., Vincentini, O., Silano, M., and Masotti, A. (2017). HIV-1 nef signaling in intestinal mucosa epithelium suggests the existence of an active inter-kingdom crosstalk mediated by exosomes. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1022. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01022

Garcia, J. V., and Miller, A. D. (1991). Serine phosphorylation-independent downregulation of cell-surface CD4 by nef. *Nature* 350, 508–511. doi: 10.1038/350508a0

Gibbs, J. S., Regier, D. A., and Desrosiers, R. C. (1994). Construction and *in vitro* properties of SIVmac mutants with deletions in "nonessential" genes. *AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses* 10, 333–342. doi: 10.1089/aid.1994.10.333

Guy, B., Kieny, M. P., Riviere, Y., Le Peuch, C., Dott, K., Girard, M., et al. (1987). HIV F/3' orf encodes a phosphorylated GTP-binding protein resembling an oncogene product. *Nature* 330, 266–269. doi: 10.1038/330266a0

Hanna, Z., Kay, D. G., Rebai, N., Guimond, A., Jothy, S., and Jolicoeur, P. (1998). Nef harbors a major determinant of pathogenicity for an AIDS-like disease induced by HIV-1 in transgenic mice. *Cell* 95, 163–175. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81748-1

Harbour, M. E., Breusegem, S. Y., Antrobus, R., Freeman, C., Reid, E., and Seaman, M. N. (2010). The cargo-selective retromer complex is a recruiting hub for protein complexes that regulate endosomal tubule dynamics. *J. Cell Sci.* 123, 3703–3717. doi: 10.1242/jcs.071472

Heusinger, E., and Kirchhoff, F. (2017). Primate lentiviruses modulate NF-kappaB activity by multiple mechanisms to fine-tune viral and cellular gene expression. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 198. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00198

Innocenti, M. (2018). New insights into the formation and the function of lamellipodia and ruffles in mesenchymal cell migration. *Cell Adh Migr* 12, 401–416. doi: 10.1080/19336918.2018.1448352

Jin, Y. J., Cai, C. Y., Zhang, X., and Burakoff, S. J. (2008). Lysine 144, a ubiquitin attachment site in HIV-1 nef, is required for nef-mediated CD4 down-regulation. J. Immunol. 180, 7878–7886. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.12.7878

Kestler, H., Ringler, D. J., Mori, K., Panicali, D. L., Sehgal, P. K., Daniel, M. D., et al. (1991). Importance of the nef gene for maintenance of high virus loads and for development of AIDS. *Cell* 65, 651–662. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(91)90097-I

Kim, Y. H., Chang, S. H., Kwon, J. H., and Rhee, S. S. (1999). HIV-1 nef plays an essential role in two independent processes in CD4 down-regulation: dissociation of the CD4-p56(lck) complex and targeting of CD4 to lysosomes. *Virology* 257, 208–219. doi: 10.1006/viro.1999.9642

Kim, D. H., Cho, S., and Wirtz, D. (2014). Tight coupling between nucleus and cell migration through the perinuclear actin cap. *J. Cell Sci.* 127, 2528–2541. doi: 10.1242/ jcs.144345

Kirchhoff, F., Greenough, T. C., Brettler, D. B., Sullivan, J. L., and Desrosiers, R. C. (1995). Brief report: Absence of intact nef sequences in a long-term survivor with nonprogressive HIV-1 infection. *N Engl. J. Med.* 332, 228–232. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199501263320405

Kmiec, D., Akbil, B., Ananth, S., Hotter, D., Sparrer, K. M. J., Sturzel, C. M., et al. (2018). SIVcol nef counteracts SERINC5 by promoting its proteasomal degradation but does not efficiently enhance HIV-1 replication in human CD4+ T cells and lymphoid tissue. *PloS Pathog.* 14, e1007269. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007269

Lamas-Murua, M., Stolp, B., Kaw, S., Thoma, J., Tsopoulidis, N., Trautz, B., et al. (2018). HIV-1 nef disrupts CD4(+) T lymphocyte polarity, extravasation, and homing to lymph nodes *via* its nef-associated kinase complex interface. *J. Immunol.* 201, 2731–2743. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1701420

Le Gall, S., Prevost, M. C., Heard, J. M., and Schwartz, O. (1997). Human immunodeficiency virus type I nef independently affects virion incorporation of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules and virus infectivity. *Virology* 229, 295–301. doi: 10.1006/viro.1996.8417

Lenassi, M., Cagney, G., Liao, M., Vaupotic, T., Bartholomeeusen, K., Cheng, Y., et al. (2010). HIV Nef is secreted in exosomes and triggers apoptosis in bystander CD4+ T cells. *Traffic* 11, 110–122. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.01006.x

Lichtig, H., Algrisi, M., Botzer, L. E., Abadi, T., Verbitzky, Y., Jackman, A., et al. (2006). HPV16 E6 natural variants exhibit different activities in functional assays relevant to the carcinogenic potential of E6. *Virology* 350, 216–227. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2006.01.038

Marecki, J. C., Cool, C. D., Parr, J. E., Beckey, V. E., Luciw, P. A., Tarantal, A. F., et al. (2006). HIV-1 nef is associated with complex pulmonary vascular lesions in SHIV-nefinfected macaques. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 174, 437–445. doi: 10.1164/ rccm.200601-005OC

Mariani, R., and Skowronski, J. (1993). CD4 down-regulation by nef alleles isolated from human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected individuals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 90, 5549–5553. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.12.5549

Massague, J. (1998). TGF-beta signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 67, 753–791. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.753

McNamara, R. P., Costantini, L. M., Myers, T. A., Schouest, B., Maness, N. J., Griffith, J. D., et al. (2018). Nef secretion into extracellular vesicles or exosomes is conserved across human and simian immunodeficiency viruses. *mBio* 9, e02344–17. doi: 10.1128/ mBio.02344-17

Mi, H., Ebert, D., Muruganujan, A., Mills, C., Albou, L. P., Mushayamaha, T., et al. (2021). PANTHER version 16: A revised family classification, tree-based classification tool, enhancer regions and extensive API. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, D394–D403. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa1106

Mukhamedova, N., Hoang, A., Dragoljevic, D., Dubrovsky, L., Pushkarsky, T., Low, H., et al. (2019). Exosomes containing HIV protein nef reorganize lipid rafts potentiating inflammatory response in bystander cells. *PloS Pathog.* 15, e1007907. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007907

Narayanan, A., Iordanskiy, S., Das, R., Van Duyne, R., Santos, S., Jaworski, E., et al. (2013). Exosomes derived from HIV-1-infected cells contain trans-activation response element RNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 20014–20033. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.438895

Niu, N., Zeng, J., Ke, X., Zheng, W., Fu, C., Lv, S., et al. (2022). ATIC facilitates cell growth and migration by upregulating myc expression in lung adenocarcinoma. *Oncol. Lett.* 23, 131. doi: 10.3892/ol.2022.13251

Nobile, C., Rudnicka, D., Hasan, M., Aulner, N., Porrot, F., Machu, C., et al. (2010). HIV-1 nef inhibits ruffles, induces filopodia, and modulates migration of infected lymphocytes. J. Virol. 84, 2282–2293. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02230-09

Oda, K., Matsuoka, Y., Funahashi, A., and Kitano, H. (2005). A comprehensive pathway map of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 1, 2005.0010. doi: 10.1038/msb4100014

Park, I. W., Fan, Y., Luo, X., Ryou, M. G., Liu, J., Green, L., et al. (2014). HIV-1 nef is transferred from expressing T cells to hepatocytic cells through conduits and enhances HCV replication. *PloS One* 9, e99545. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099545

Park, I. W., and He, J. J. (2009). HIV-1 nef-mediated inhibition of T cell migration and its molecular determinants. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 86, 1171–1178. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0409261

Park, I. W., and He, J. J. (2013). HIV-1 nef-mediated T-cell activation and chemotaxis are decoupled using a HIV-1/SIVpbj1.9. chimeric nef variant. *Arch. Virol.* 158, 845–852. doi: 10.1007/s00705-012-1560-z

Park, I. W., Ndjomou, J., Wen, Y., Liu, Z., Ridgway, N. D., Kao, C. C., et al. (2013). Inhibition of HCV replication by oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 4 (ORP4) through interaction with HCV NS5B and alteration of lipid droplet formation. *PloS One* 8, e75648. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075648

Park, I. W., and Sodroski, J. (1995). Functional analysis of the vpx, vpr, and nef genes of simian immunodeficiency virus. *J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. Hum. Retrovirol* 8, 335–344.

Pereira, E. A., and daSilva, L. L. (2016). HIV-1 nef: Taking control of protein trafficking. *Traffic* 17, 976–996. doi: 10.1111/tra.12412

Pyeon, D., Rojas, V. K., Price, L., Kim, S., Meharvan, S., and Park, I. W. (2019). HIV-1 impairment *via* UBE3A and HIV-1 nef interactions utilizing the ubiquitin proteasome system. *Viruses* 11, 1098. doi: 10.3390/v11121098

Qiu, X., Eke, I. E., Johnson, S. F., Ding, C., and Zheng, Y. H. (2020). Proteasomal degradation of human SERINC4: A potent host anti-HIV-1 factor that is antagonized by nef. *Curr. Res. Virol. Sci.* 1, 100002. doi: 10.1016/j.crviro.2020.100002

Quaranta, M. G., Vincentini, O., Felli, C., Spadaro, F., Silano, M., Moricoli, D., et al. (2011). Exogenous HIV-1 nef upsets the IFN-gamma-induced impairment of human intestinal epithelial integrity. *PloS One* 6, e23442. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023442

Rahim, M. M., Chrobak, P., Hu, C., Hanna, Z., and Jolicoeur, P. (2009). Adult AIDSlike disease in a novel inducible human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef transgenic mouse model: CD4+ T-cell activation is nef dependent and can occur in the absence of lymphophenia. J. Virol. 83, 11830–11846. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01466-09

Rainho, J. N., Martins, M. A., Cunyat, F., Watkins, I. T., Watkins, D. I., and Stevenson, M. (2015). Nef is dispensable for resistance of simian immunodeficiency virus-infected macrophages to CD8+ T cell killing. *J. Virol.* 89, 10625–10636. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01699-15

Renkema, G. H., and Saksela, K. (2000). Interactions of HIV-1 NEF with cellular signal transducing proteins. *Front. Biosci.* 5, D268–D283. doi: 10.2741/Renkema

Rhee, S. S., and Marsh, J. W. (1994). Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nefinduced down-modulation of CD4 is due to rapid internalization and degradation of surface CD4. J. Virol. 68, 5156–5163. doi: 10.1128/jvi.68.8.5156-5163.1994

Ridley, A. J., Schwartz, M. A., Burridge, K., Firtel, R. A., Ginsberg, M. H., Borisy, G., et al. (2003). Cell migration: Integrating signals from front to back. *Science* 302, 1704–1709. doi: 10.1126/science.1092053

Rossi, F. W., Prevete, N., Rivellese, F., Lobasso, A., Napolitano, F., Granata, F., et al. (2016). HIV-1 nef promotes migration and chemokine synthesis of human basophils and mast cells through the interaction with CXCR4. *Clin. Mol. Allergy* 14, 15. doi: 10.1186/s12948-016-0052-1

Salghetti, S., Mariani, R., and Skowronski, J. (1995). Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef and p56lck protein-tyrosine kinase interact with a common element in CD4 cytoplasmic tail. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 349–353. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.2.349

Salvi, R., Garbuglia, A. R., Di Caro, A., Pulciani, S., Montella, F., and Benedetto, A. (1998). Grossly defective nef gene sequences in a human immunodeficiency virus type 1-seropositive long-term nonprogressor. *J. Virol.* 72, 3646–3657. doi: 10.1128/JVI.72.5.3646-3657.1998

Sami Saribas, A., Cicalese, S., Ahooyi, T. M., Khalili, K., Amini, S., and Sariyer, I. K. (2017). HIV-1 nef is released in extracellular vesicles derived from astrocytes: evidence for nef-mediated neurotoxicity. *Cell Death Dis.* 8, e2542. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2016.467

Schibeci, S. D., Clegg, A. O., Biti, R. A., Sagawa, K., Stewart, G. J., and Williamson, P. (2000). HIV-Nef enhances interleukin-2 production and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity in a human T cell line. *AIDS* 14, 1701–1707. doi: 10.1097/00002030-200008180-00003

Schwartz, O., Marechal, V., Le Gall, S., Lemonnier, F., and Heard, J. M. (1996). Endocytosis of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules is induced by the HIV-1 nef protein. *Nat. Med.* 2, 338–342. doi: 10.1038/nm0396-338

Sleckman, B. P., Shin, J., Igras, V. E., Collins, T. L., Strominger, J. L., and Burakoff, S. J. (1992). Disruption of the CD4-p56lck complex is required for rapid internalization of CD4. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 89, 7566–7570. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.16.7566

Slenter, D. N., Kutmon, M., Hanspers, K., Riutta, A., Windsor, J., Nunes, N., et al. (2018). WikiPathways: a multifaceted pathway database bridging metabolomics to other omics research. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D661–D667. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1064

Staudt, R. P., Alvarado, J. J., Emert-Sedlak, L. A., Shi, H., Shu, S. T., Wales, T. E., et al. (2020). Structure, function, and inhibitor targeting of HIV-1 nef-effector kinase complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 295, 15158–15171. doi: 10.1074/jbc.REV120.012317

Stoddart, C. A., Geleziunas, R., Ferrell, S., Linquist-Stepps, V., Moreno, M. E., Bare, C., et al. (2003). Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nef-mediated downregulation of CD4 correlates with nef enhancement of viral pathogenesis. *J. Virol.* 77, 2124–2133. doi: 10.1128/JVI.77.3.2124-2133.2003

Stolp, B., Imle, A., Coelho, F. M., Hons, M., Gorina, R., Lyck, R., et al. (2012). HIV-1 nef interferes with T-lymphocyte circulation through confined environments *in vivo*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 18541–18546. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1204322109

Stolp, B., Reichman-Fried, M., Abraham, L., Pan, X., Giese, S. I., Hannemann, S., et al. (2009). HIV-1 nef interferes with host cell motility by deregulation of cofilin. *Cell Host Microbe* 6, 174–186. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009.06.004

Sugita, T., Aya, H., Ueno, M., Ishizuka, T., and Kawashima, K. (1997). Characterization of molecularly cloned human 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide transformylase. J. Biochem. 122, 309–313. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a021754

Sugiyama, R., Naganuma, H., Nishitsuji, H., and Takaku, H. (2011). Human immunodeficiency virus-1 nef suppresses Hsp70-mediated tat activation. *FEBS Lett.* 585, 3367–3371. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2011.09.029

Swingler, S., Mann, A., Jacque, J., Brichacek, B., Sasseville, V. G., Williams, K., et al. (1999). HIV-1 nef mediates lymphocyte chemotaxis and activation by infected macrophages. *Nat. Med.* 5, 997–103. doi: 10.1038/12433

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A. L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2019). STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D607–D613. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1131

Tan, R., Patni, H., Tandon, P., Luan, L., Sharma, B., Salhan, D., et al. (2013). Nef interaction with actin compromises human podocyte actin cytoskeletal integrity. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* 94, 51–57. doi: 10.1016/j.yexmp.2012.06.001

Teven, C. M., Farina, E. M., Rivas, J., and Reid, R. R. (2014). Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling in development and skeletal diseases. *Genes Dis.* 1, 199–213. doi: 10.1016/j.gendis.2014.09.005

Trible, R. P., Emert-Sedlak, L., and Smithgall, T. E. (2006). HIV-1 nef selectively activates src family kinases hck, Lyn, and c-src through direct SH3 domain interaction. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 27029–27038. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M601128200

Vazquez-Ortiz, G., Garcia, J. A., Ciudad, C. J., Noe, V., Penuelas, S., Lopez-Romero, R., et al. (2007). Differentially expressed genes between high-risk human papillomavirus types in human cervical cancer cells. *Int. J. Gynecol Cancer* 17, 484–491. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1438.2007.00831.x

Wang, J. K., Kiyokawa, E., Verdin, E., and Trono, D. (2000). The nef protein of HIV-1 associates with rafts and primes T cells for activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 394–399. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.1.394

Xu, W., Santini, P. A., Sullivan, J. S., He, B., Shan, M., Ball, S. C., et al. (2009). HIV-1 evades virus-specific IgG2 and IgA responses by targeting systemic and intestinal b cells *via* long-range intercellular conduits. *Nat. Immunol.* 10, 1008–1017. doi: 10.1038/ni.1753

Zhang, H. G., Guo, J., Yuan, Y., Zuo, Y., Liu, J., Zhu, L., et al. (2020). Ubiquitin E3 ligase c-cbl is a host negative regulator of nef protein of HIV-1. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 597972. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.597972

Zhang, Q. H., Ye, M., Wu, X. Y., Ren, S. X., Zhao, M., Zhao, C. J., et al. (2000). Cloning and functional analysis of cDNAs with open reading frames for 300 previously undefined genes expressed in CD34+ hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Genome Res.* 10, 1546– 1560. doi: 10.1101/gr.140200